

# EFFECT OF LAYING AGE AND PLUMAGE COLOUR ON INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL QUALITY CHARACTERISTICS OF NOILER CHICKEN EGGS

Iyabode Oluwayemisi DUDUSOLA\*, Hameed Akande BASHIRU, Oluwasogo Emmanuel ADELEKE

Department of Animal Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife Osun State, Nigeria

# ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to evaluate the effect of laying age and plumage colour on the internal and external quality characteristics of eggs laid by Noiler chicken. Three hundred freshly laid eggs of three plumage colours (brown, barred and black) and age (young and old) were used for this experiment. External and internal quality parameters measured were: egg weight, egg length, egg width, shape index, albumen height, yolk height, yolk width, yolk index, yolk and albumen weight, Haugh unit, shell thickness, shell thickness and shell surface area. The data were subjected to General Linear Model procedure of SAS<sup>®</sup> (2002) with laying age, plumage colour and their two-way interaction as fixed effects. Significant differences (P < 0.05) were observed in albumen height, yolk height and Haugh unit as a result of differences in plumage colour. In addition, the study showed that age had a significant effect on all the parameters considered except egg shape index. The result showed that albumen height, yolk height and Haugh unit decreased with an increase in laying age. In conclusion, it was found that laying age and plumage colour had significant effect on the quality of eggs laid by Noiler chickens.

Key words: Noiler chicken; plumage colour; laying age; external egg quality; internal egg quality

### INTRODUCTION

In Nigeria, where the production of animal protein falls far short of meeting the demands of a rapidly growing population (Adene and Oguntade, 2006) and the state of nutrition is characterized by gross inadequate protein intake, poultry is the most common livestock being kept (Amar-Klemesu and Maxwell, 2000). The Nigerian poultry industry in particular has been rapidly expanding in recent years and is, therefore, one of the most important and commercialized subsectors of the Nigerian agriculture (Adene and Oguntade, 2006). The poultry industry serves as a major source of animal protein in form of meat and eggs and has great potential of solving the national problem of inadequacy of

animal products. Local chickens are among the many local resources of the poor people, living in the rural areas, which could be harnessed and utilized for poverty alleviation (Njue *et al.*, 2002). The indigenous poultry species, which includes Noiler chicken, makes significant contributions to animal protein availability in Nigeria through cheap poultry products, such as meat and eggs.

Poultry egg remains one of the cheapest, most affordable and acceptable animal product. Eggs possess two yardsticks that make them important as foodstuff; namely, they are rich in nutrient and serve important roles in many food products because of their functional properties (Silversides and Scott, 2001). Egg quality traits including external (egg weight, egg length, egg width, shell quality, shell

\*Correspondence: E-mail: dipodudu2000@yahoo.com Iyabode Oluwayemisi Dudusola, Department of Animal Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife Osun State, Nigeria thickness and shell surface area) and internal traits (albumen height, yolk height, yolk width, yolk index, Haugh unit, albumen and yolk parameters) are crucial not only for consumers but also essential for the egg product industry (Song *et al.*, 2000; Wolanski *et al.*, 2007). Egg weight is used to grade eggs into different categories and bigger eggs cause higher price. Also Haugh unit is a measure of overall internal egg quality.

Noiler chicken, a dual purpose breed of chicken with different plumage colours predominantly black, brown and barred, was recently developed by Amo Farm Sieberer Hatchery Limited, Nigeria for smallholder farmers to address the challenges of food and financial insecurities among rural population, especially women. Noiler chicken is bred to survive on low quality feedstuffs to provide good quality meat and eggs, but little or no research work has been done to evaluate the quality of the eggs. Noiler chicken comes in varieties of plumage colour, however, barred, black and brown are the predominant plumage colours. Buss and Guyer (1982) reported that there was some genetic dispersion in eggshell quality characteristics existing between species and between breeds, plumage colour and families within the lines. Many studies showed that hens with coloured feathers lay bigger eggs than hens with white feathers (Halaj and Grofík, 1994; Vits et al., 2005; Halaj and Golian, 2011). The percentage of yolk tends to be larger in larger eggs and egg albumen tends to decrease with egg weight. Iposu *et al.* (1994) and Silversides (1994) reported negative correlations between the egg weight and albumen height as well as between the egg weight and Haugh unit. Padhi et al. (2013) reported that the egg weight showed significant difference in external egg characteristics for eggs laid by layers of different age, and the egg weight increases as the age of layers increases. Lee et al. (2016) classify age of hens as the major factor that has an effect on the quality of fresh eggs. Silversides et al. (2006) reported that the albumen weight is significantly different between different ages of chicken. Increase in the albumen weight with increase in age was reported, and increase in the egg weight at 40 weeks compared to 28 weeks was also reported by Rajkumar et al. (2009). As a result of long-term genetic selection, different plumage colours of laying hen vary significantly

in the egg shell quality, egg size and production (Curtis *et al.*,1985). Hence, there is a need to assess the effect of laying age and plumage colour on external and internal egg quality. The objective of this study, therefore, was to determine the effect of laying age and plumage colour on external and internal egg quality characteristics of Noiler chickens.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### **Experimental Location**

The eggs used for this experiment were collected from Livestofarm, Modakeke Osun State, Nigeria and all the necessary measurements were taken at the Department of Animal Sciences, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife. The University is located at Log 7031'18.2" N and Lat 4031'33.9" E.

#### **Data Collection and Analysis**

A totally 300 freshly laid eggs were obtained across three plumage colours (black, brown and barred) at 26 weeks (young age) or 46 weeks (old age) of age from Noiler chicken. Fifty (50) eggs were collected for each plumage colour.

The external egg quality parameters measured were: egg weight, egg width, egg length, shell, shell surface area and percentage of shell thickness, shell weight. The internal egg quality parameters considered were: albumen height, haugh unit, yolk height, yolk index, yolk and albumen weight and yolk width.

Egg weight, yolk and albumen weight and shell weights were measured in grams using KERRO<sup>®</sup> electronic compact scale (model number BL50001) with a maximum capacity of 5000 g and sensitivity of 0.1 g.

Egg length (EL), egg width (EW), yolk height (YH), albumen height (AH) and yolk width (YW) were measured in centimetres using a Vernier calliper. Shell thickness (ST) in millimetres was measured using a micrometre screw gauge.

Haugh unit was calculated according to Haugh (1937) using the formula below:

HU = 100 log (H + 7.57 - 1.7 W0.37) Shape Index = Egg width/Egg length Yolk index = Yolk height/Yolk width x 100 Shell surface area = W<sup>0.66</sup> x 4.67 Data were subjected to General Linear Model (GLM) procedure of SAS (2002) with plumage colour, layer's age and their two-way interaction as fixed effects according to the following model:

 $\begin{array}{l} Y_{ijk} = \mu + S_i + A_j + (S^*A)_{ij} + e_{ijk} \\ Y_{ijk} = \text{Trait measured}, \\ \mu = \text{Overall means}, \\ S_i = \text{Plumage colour effect (i = 1, 2, 3),} \\ A_j = \text{flock Age effect (j = young and old),} \\ (S^*A)_{ij} = \text{Interaction between Plumage colour and age,} \\ e_{iik} = \text{Random error.} \end{array}$ 

When significant differences among means were found, the means were separated using Duncan's Multiple Range and Least Squares Means tests of the same software.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 shows the effect of plumage colour on internal and external quality characteristics of eggs laid by Noiler chickens. The parameters presented include egg weight, egg length, egg width, albumen height, yolk height, yolk index, yolk and albumen weight, shell weight, shell surface area, percentage shell thickness, shell thickness, egg shape index and Haugh unit.

There were significant differences in the yolk height, albumen height and Haugh unit (P < 0.05) as a result of difference in plumage colour, while there were no significant differences in the egg weight, egg length, egg width, yolk index, shell weight, shell thickness and shape index. This result is in agreement with the report of Dahloum et al. (2018), who evaluated the effect of plumage colour on egg quality characteristics of indigenous naked-neck chickens in Algeria. They reported that both yolk height and albumen height were influenced by plumage colour. They reported significant effect of plumage colour on all internal egg quality traits. The albumen height as well as yolk height in the present study was greater than those reported by Dahloum et al. (2018).

Further, this present result also agrees with the findings of Rayan *et al.* (2013), who reported some reproductive performance parameters and egg quality traits of two commercial layer plumage colour (brown and white variants). The authors reported significant differences in some internal egg qualities (particularly yolk and albumen). In this study, the yolk height of eggs laid by brown hens had significantly higher value compared to those of eggs laid by black and barred hens. Similarly, value of the albumen height of eggs laid by the brown bird was significantly higher compared to eggs

Trait	Black ± SD	Barred ± SD	Brown ± SD	SEM	P-value
EW (g)	64.43 ± 5.85	63.14 ± 6.92	63.98 ± 6.08	0.500	0.186
EL (cm)	5.840 ± 0.31	5.832 ± 0.32	5.826 ± 0.25	0.024	0.920
EWD (cm)	4.377 ± 0.16	4.330 ± 0.17	4.313 ± 0.44	0.027	0.242
YH (cm)	$1.929 \pm 0.11^{b}$	$1.899 \pm 0.16^{\circ}$	1.967 ± 0.14ª	0.013	0.002
YW (cm)	$4.041 \pm 0.27$	3.997 ± 0.31	$4.080 \pm 0.24$	0.024	0.063
YI	47.700 ± 4.82	47.951 ± 4.34	48.343 ± 4.27	0.444	0.598
AH (cm)	$0.821 \pm 0.13^{b}$	$0.809 \pm 0.14^{b}$	0.870 ± 0.13 <sup>a</sup>	0.012	0.002
YAW (g)	56.164 ± 6.42	54.968 ± 5.31	55.690 ± 5.72	0.476	0.203
SI	75.111 ± 3.89	75.855 ± 5.02	75.700 ± 8.20	0.565	0.618
SW (g)	7.572 ± 0.57	7.522 ± 0.73	7.687 ± 0.79	0.676	0.210
ST (mm)	$0.325 \pm 0.04$	0.323 ± 0.04	$0.320 \pm 0.04$	0.003	0.556
% ST	$32.010 \pm 4.14$	32.590 ± 4.30	32.310 ± 4.37	0.378	0.556
SSA	71.936 ± 4.38	72.928 ± 5.23	72.585 ± 4.55	0.375	0.167
HU	84.45 ± 0.79 <sup>b</sup>	84.44 ± 0.71 <sup>b</sup>	84.72 ± 0.63 <sup>a</sup>	0.067	0.004

#### Table 1. Egg quality parameters of different plumage colours of Noiler chicken

EW = Egg weight, EL = Egg length, EWD = Egg width, YH = Yolk height, YW = Yolk width, YI = Yolk index, AH = Albumen height, YAW = Yolk + Albumen weight, SI = Shape index, SW = Shell weight, ST = Shell thickness, SSA = Shell surface area, HU = Haugh Unit, SD = Standard deviation, SEM = Standard error of mean. laid by black and barred hens. However, there were no significant differences in the egg weight, egg length, egg width, yolk width, yolk and albumen weight, shell weight, shell thickness and shape index.

There were no significant differences in the egg weight, shell thickness and percentage shell thickness among the three plumage colours of Noiler birds. This disagrees with the report of Rayan *et al.* (2013), who reported that egg weight was significantly affected by plumage colour and that there was significant difference in shell thickness due to plumage colour. In their findings, brown plumage-coloured hens laid eggs that had significantly higher shell thickness compared to their white counterparts.

There were significant differences (P < 0.05) in the Haugh unit as a result of differences in plumage colour. The brown plumage had the highest value indicating the best in terms of internal quality among the other plumage colours. Consumer's egg preference could be for the brown plumage colour of Noiler chicken because of their superior internal quality.

Table 2 shows the internal and external characteristics of Noiler chicken eggs at different ages (old and young). Parameters evaluated include

the mean egg weight, egg length, egg width, yolk height, yolk width, albumen height, yolk and albumen weight, egg shape index, shell weight, shell thickness, shell surface area, percentage shell thickness and Haugh unit.

There were significant differences (P < 0.05) in all the internal and external parameters with the exception of egg shape index. Egg weight showed significant (P < 0.05) difference between different ages, and the egg weight increased as the age of the birds increases.

In this finding, the albumen weight significantly (P < 0.05) increased with the advancement of layer ages. Rossi and Pompei (1995) obtained similar results. Suk and Park (2001) and Rayan et al. (2013) observed that the albumen weight increased with advancing age of layers. Shell thickness differed significantly (P < 0.05) among the different ages of birds. The shell thickness was higher in young Noiler birds compared to old Noiler birds. This disagrees with the report of Padhi et al. (2013), who determined the effect of age on egg quality in chicken and reported no significant differences (P < 0.05) among different ages of birds. A probable explanation for thin eggshell in older hens may be lessening of calcium deposition with the passage of time (Bare and Striem, 1998). It has been observed that

Trait	Old ± SD	Young ± SD	SEM	P-value	
EW (g)	67.516 ± 4.90 <sup>a</sup>	60.180 ± 5.34 <sup>b</sup>	0.408	< 0.0001	
EL (cm)	5.987 ± 0.25°	$5.679 \pm 0.26^{b}$	0.020	< 0.0001	
EWD (cm)	4.429 ± 0.15°	4.251 ± 0.36 <sup>b</sup>	0.022	< 0.0001	
YH (cm)	1.949 ± 0.12°	$1.914 \pm 0.16^{b}$	0.010	0.0240	
YW (cm)	4.148 ± 0.26ª	$3.932 \pm 0.24^{b}$	0.020	< 0.0001	
YI	47.184 ± 4.22 <sup>b</sup>	48.812 ± 4.59 <sup>a</sup>	0.361	0.0015	
AH (cm)	$0.810 \pm 0.14^{b}$	0.857 ± 0.13ª	0.010	0.0020	
YAW (g)	58.864 ± 4.72°	52.350 ± 4.98 <sup>b</sup>	0.388	< 0.0001	
SI	74.973 ± 4.41	76.138 ± 7.18	0.461	0.6184	
SW (g)	7.787 ± 0.67 <sup>a</sup>	$7.400 \pm 0.69^{b}$	0.055	< 0.0001	
ST (mm)	$0.302 \pm 0.04^{b}$	$0.343 \pm 0.04^{a}$	0.003	< 0.0001	
% ST	34.320 ± 3.89 <sup>a</sup>	30.286 ± 3.63 <sup>b</sup>	0.308	< 0.0001	
SSA	75.243 ± 3.63 <sup>a</sup>	69.724 ± 4.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.306	< 0.0001	
HU	84.250 ± 0.72 <sup>b</sup>	84.827 ± 0.65 <sup>a</sup>	0.055	< 0.0001	

Table 2. Effect of laying age on egg quality parameters of Noiler chicken (Young and Old)

EW = Egg weight, EL = Egg length, EWD = Egg width, YH = Yolk height, YW = Yolk width, YI = Yolk index, AH = Albumen height, YAW = Yolk + Albumen weight, SI = Shape index, SW = Shell weight, ST = Shell thickness, SSA = Shell surface area, HU = Haugh Unit, SD = Standard deviation, SEM = Standard error of mean.

Table 3. Interact	tion between age	and plumage colou	ır on egg parame	eters of Noiler chic	ken			
Trait	Old Barred ± SD	Young Barred ± SD	Old Black ± SD	Young Black ± SD	Old Brown ± SD	Young Brown ± SD	SEM	<i>P</i> -value
EW (g)	$68.088 \pm 5.10^{a}$	58.200 ± 4.23°	$68.318 \pm 4.11^{a}$	60.534 ± 5.34 <sup>b</sup>	$66.144 \pm 5.88^{a}$	$61.808 \pm 6.08^{\circ}$	0.708	0.0005
EL (cm)	$6.067 \pm 0.32^{a}$	5.597 ± 0.22 <sup>b</sup>	$5.982 \pm 0.26^{a}$	$5.699 \pm 0.28^{b}$	$5.913 \pm 0.33^{a}$	5.741 ± 0.25 <sup>b</sup>	0.035	0.0001
EWD (cm)	$4.410 \pm 0.19$	$4.217 \pm 0.16$	4.465 ± 0.28	4.290 ± 0.33	$4.414 \pm 0.45$	4.247 ± 0.46	0.039	0.9440
YH (cm)	$1.957 \pm 0.11^{a}$	$1.839 \pm 0.09^{\circ}$	$1.913 \pm 0.13^{a}$	$1.945 \pm 0.12^{a}$	$1.977 \pm 0.15^{a}$	$1.957 \pm 0.16^{a}$	0.019	0.0005
YW (cm)	$4.168 \pm 0.23^{a}$	3.824 ± 0.24 <sup>b</sup>	$4.143 \pm 0.22^{a}$	$3.941 \pm 0.26^{b}$	$4.133 \pm 0.18^{\circ}$	$4.029 \pm 0.17^{b}$	0.035	0.0033
۲I	47.177 ± 4.25	48.224 ± 4.76	46.374 ± 4.56	$49.528 \pm 4.17$	$48.002 \pm 4.28$	48.683 ± 4.33	0.622	0.1047
AH (cm)	$0.782 \pm 0.12$	0.836 ± 0.09	$0.796 \pm 0.10$	$0.846 \pm 0.11$	$0.852 \pm 0.13$	$0.889 \pm 0.14$	0.018	0.8927
YAW (g)	$59.276 \pm 5.78^{a}$	50.660 ± 5.34 <sup>e</sup>	$59.652 \pm 5.38^{a}$	52.676±5.26 <sup>d</sup>	57.666 ± 4.88 <sup>b</sup>	$53.714 \pm 5.12^{\circ}$	0.673	0.0024
SI	$72.737 \pm 4.21^{b}$	78.972 ± 3.66 <sup>a</sup>	$74.822 \pm 5.30^{b}$	75.399 ± 6.78 <sup>b</sup>	77.359 ± 7.41ª	74.041 ± 5.66 <sup>b</sup>	0.8001	<.0001
SW (g)	7.806±0.69	7.238 ± 0.55	7.742 ± 0.61	7.402 ± 0.62	$7.814 \pm 0.57$	7.560 ± 0.48	0.095	0.2388
ST (mm)	$0.299 \pm 0.02$	$0.340 \pm 0.04$	$0.304 \pm 0.03$	0.347 ± 0.04	$0.304 \pm 0.03$	$0.341 \pm 0.04$	0.0053	0.8713
% ST	29.980 ± 3.89	34.040 ± 4.14	$30.440 \pm 4.10$	34.740 ± 4.58	30.440 ± 3.94	34.180 ± 4.45	0.3783	0.8713
SSA	75.676 ± 4.40	68.196 ± 4.56	75.846 ± 5.33	$70.010 \pm 5.12$	$74.206 \pm 6.16$	70.964 ± 4.76	0.5315	0.0004
Π	84.073 ± 0.72	84.815 ± 0.68	$84.148 \pm 0.71$	$84.751 \pm 0.69$	84.526±0.70	84.915 ± 0.73	0.0954	0.1758
EW = Egg weight SW = Shell weigh	t, EL = Egg length, EWE it, ST = Shell thickness	) = Egg width, YH = Yolk h 5, SSA = Shell surface are	ieight, YW = Yolk wid a, HU = Haugh Unit,	th, YI = Yolk index, AH = SD = Standard deviatior	Albumen height, YAV 1, SEM = Standard err	/ = Yolk + Albumen wei or of mean.	ght, SI = Shap	e index,

the skeletal calcium available for shell calcification decreases with age. Regarding age, a significant (P < 0.05) depressing effect was obtained for the values of Haugh units, which decreased as layer age progressed. This agrees with the findings of Verheyen and Decuypere (1991), Yasmeen *et al.* (2008) and Rayan *et al.* (2013), who found that Haugh unit values decreased with increase in the layer age.

Table 3 shows the interaction effect between plumage colour and age on the internal and external egg quality characteristics of Noiler chicken. There were significant differences (P < 0.05) in egg weight, egg length, yolk width, yolk and albumen weight and shape index. However, no significant interaction effect on the egg width, albumen height, shell weight, shell thickness and Haugh unit was found.

The result obtained for egg weight indicated that the older black birds had the highest value, while the young barred birds had the least value for egg weight. For egg length, the older barred birds had the highest value, while the young barred birds had the least value. For yolk height and yolk width, there were significant differences as a result of the interaction between laying age and plumage colour. The older brown birds had the highest yolk height followed by older barred birds and older black birds with younger barred birds having the least value for yolk height and yolk width. From physiological point of view, egg weight is positively correlated with progressing age of hen, such phenomena held true also both for yolk or albumen weight as a major egg components. Yolk and albumen weight differs significantly with older black birds having the highest value followed by older barred birds and older brown birds with younger barred birds having the least value. Albumen height and Haugh units are the traits used to evaluate albumen quality, which reduces with age (Liljedahl et al., 1984). There were no significant differences in the albumen height and Haugh unit as a result of the interaction between laying age and plumage colour.

# CONCLUSION

External egg parameters including egg weight, egg length, egg width, shell weight, shape index, and shell thickness were not significantly influenced by plumage colour, while internal quality parameters, such as Haugh units, albumen height and yolk height, were affected by plumage colour with brown plumage-coloured birds having the highest egg internal quality.

Furthermore, laying age had a significant effect on all the internal and external quality parameters considered. The interaction between laying age and plumage colour had significant influence only on the egg weight, egg width, yolk height, yolk width as well as yolk and albumen weight.

#### REFERENCES

- Adene, D. F. & Oguntade, A. E. (2006). The structure and importance of the commercial and village based poultry industry in Nigeria. FAO Animal Production and Health Division. Rome, Italy.
- Amar-Klemesu, M. & Maxwell, D. (2000). Urban Agriculture as an asset strategy: Supplementing income and diets in growing cities. In: Growing cities, growing food, urban agriculture on the policy agenda. A reader on urban agriculture, Bakker, N., Dubbeling, M., Gundel, Sable–Koschella, U. & De Zeeuw, H. (Eds.), 183–208.
- Bare, A. & Striem, S. V. (1998). Effects of age at onset of production, light regime and dietary calcium on performance, eggshell traits, duodenal calbindin and cholecalciferol metabolism. *British Poultry Science*, 39, 282–290.
- Buss, E. G. & Guyer, R. B. (1982). Genetic differences in avian egg shell formation, *Poultry Science*, 61, 2048–2055.
- Curtis, P. A., Gardner, F. A. & Mellor, D. B. A. (1985). Comparison of selected quality and compositional characteristics of brown and white shell eggs. *Poultry Science*, 64, 297–301.
- Dahloum, L., Yakubu, A. & Halbouche, M. (2018). Effects of housing system and plumage colour on egg quality characteristics of indigenous naked-neck chickens. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 30, 206–212.
- Halaj, M. & Golian, J. (2011). Table eggs characteristics. Nitra, Garmond, pp. 37–62.
- Halaj, M. & Grofik, R. (1994). The relationship between egg shell strength and hens features. *Živočišná výroba*, 39, 927–934.
- Haugh, R. R. (1937). The Haugh unit for measuring egg quality. *US Poultry Magazine*, 43, 552–573.
- Iposu, S. O., Onwuka, C. F. I. & Eruvbetine, D. (1994). The relationship between selected quality traits and egg size. *Niger Journal of Animal Production*, 21, 156–160.

- Lee, M. H., Jung, C. E., Choi, E. S. & Bang, M. H. (2016). The effect of hen age on egg quality in commercial layer. *Korean Journal of Poultry Science*, 43(4), 253–261.
- Liljedahl, L. E., Gavora J. S., Fairfull, R. W. & Gowe, R. S. (1984). Age changes in genetic and environmental variation in laying hens. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 67, 391–401.
- Njue, S. W., Kasiiti, J., Macharia, J. M., Gacheru, S. G. & Mbugua, H. C. W. (2002). Evaluating the effect of Newcastle disease control and improved feeding regime on productivity of village chickens in Kenya. Nairobi, Veterinary Research Laboratories-Kabete. http:// www.researchgate.net/profile/Sophycate\_Njue/ publications
- Padhi, K., Chatterjee, N. & Santosh, H. (2013). Effect of age on egg quality in chicken. *Indian Journal of Poultry Science*, 48(1), 122–125.
- Rajkumar, U., Sharma, R. P., Rajaravindra, K. S., Niranjan, M., Reddy, B. L. N., Bhattacharya, T. K. & Chatterjee, R.
  N. (2009). Effect of genotype and age on egg quality traits in naked neck chicken under tropical climate of India. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 8(1), 1151–1155.
- Rayan, G. N., Mahrous, M. Y., Galal, A. & El-Attar, A. H. (2013). Study of some productive performance and egg quality traits in two commercial layer strains. *Egypt Poultry Science Journal*, 33(2), 357–369.
- Rossi, M. & Pompei C. (1995). Changes in some egg components and analytical values due to hen age. *Poultry Science*, 74, 152–160.
- SAS. (2002). SAS Help and documentation. Version 9.0 SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC. 27513, USA.
- Silversides, F. G. & Scott, T. A. (2001). Effect of storage and layer age on quality of eggs from two lines of hens. *Poultry Science*, 80, 1240–1245.
- Silversides, F. G., Korver, D. R. & Budgell, K. L. (2006). Effect of strain of layer and age at photo stimulation on egg production, egg quality and bone strength. *Poultry Science*, 85, 1136–1144.
- Silversides, F. G. (1994). The Haugh unit correction for egg weight is not adequate for comparing eggs from chickens of different lines and ages. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research*, 3, 120–126.
- Song, K. T., Chio, S. H. & Ho, H. R. (2000). A comparison of egg quality of Pheasant, Chuker, Quail and Guinea fowl. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science, 13, 986–990.
- Suk, Y. O. & Park, C. (2001). Effect of breed and age of hens on the yolk to albumen ratio in two different genetic stocks. *Poultry Science*, 80, 855–858.

- Verheyen, G. & Decuypere, E. (1991). Egg quality parameters in second and third laying years as a function of the moulting age, strain and moulting methods. *Archiv fur Geflugelkunde*, 55, 275–282.
- Vits, A., Weitzenbürger, D., Hamann, H. & Dist, O. (2005). Production, egg quality, bone strength, claw length, and keel bone deformities of laying hens housed in furnished cages with different group sizes. *Poultry Science*, 84(10), 1511–1519.
- Wolanski, N. J., Renema, R. A., Robinson, F. E., Carney, V. L. & Fancher, B. I. (2007). Relationship between chick conformation and quality measures with early growth traits in males of eight selected pure or commercial broiler breeder strains. *Poultry Science*, 85, 1490–1497.
- Yasmeen, F., Mahmood, S., Hassan, M., Akhtar, N. & Yaseen, M. (2008). Comparative productive performance and egg characteristics of pullets and spent layers. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*, 28(1), 5–8.