

# EFFECTS OF DIETARY INCLUSION OF *ZYMOMONAS MOBILIS* TREATED SAWDUST ON HAEMATOLOGY, SERUM BIOCHEMISTRY, CARCASS CHARACTERISTICS AND SENSORY EVALUATION OF MEAT OF BROILER CHICKENS

Adelaja Augustine ALADE<sup>1\*</sup>, Adeyemi Mustapha BAMGBOSE<sup>2</sup>, Abimbola Oladele OSO<sup>2</sup>, Babatunde Adewale ADEWUMI<sup>1</sup>, Nnamdi Mbanefo ANIGBOGU<sup>3</sup>, Leonard N. AGWUNOBI<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Africa Centre of Excellence in Agricultural Development and Sustainable Environment, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Nutrition, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>Coordinator Life-Enzyme and Fine Chemical Research, Department of Animal Nutrition and Forage Science, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Nigeria

<sup>4</sup>Department of Animal Science, University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria

## ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to investigate the effects of including *Zymomonas mobilis*-treated sawdust (ZTSD) as unconventional feed in broiler chicken diets for 56 days by observing the haematology, serum metabolites, carcass characteristics and sensory evaluation. A total of 375, 1-day old broiler chicks were randomly assigned into 5 groups, each with 5 replicates of 15 birds each in a Completely Randomized Design for the study. Five diets containing untreated and treated sawdust were formulated to replace wheat offal at 0, 50 and 100 % at starter and finisher phases. At the starting phase, the broiler chickens, fed 100 % untreated sawdust-based diet (UTSD), had highest ( $p < 0.05$ ) values for packed cell volume (PCV), red blood cell (RBC) and lymphocytes. Whereas the birds, fed 100 % *Zymomonas mobilis*-treated based diet (ZTSD), had the highest value for white blood cell (WBC). The finishing broiler chickens, fed 50 % UTSD, had the highest values for total protein (TP), albumin, globulin and uric acid, while the birds on 50 % ZTSD had the least values for TP and albumin. The birds, fed 50 % ZTSD, had highest ( $p < 0.05$ ) value for liver, while the highest value of the whole gastrointestinal tract was observed at the group with 100 % ZTSD. The control group had the highest value for the overall acceptability of the meat. In conclusion, the inclusion of ZTSD improved PCV, Hb, RBC, TP and blood glucose. ZTSD, given at 50 %, increased the breast, thigh, drumstick and back of the broiler chickens. The application 100 % UTSD and 100 % ZTSD increased the sensory attributes of the meat.

**Key words:** haematology; serum biochemistry; carcass characteristics; sensory evaluation; sawdust; *Zymomonas mobilis*

## INTRODUCTION

The utilization of wheat offal as a major dietary fibre source in most parts of poultry producing areas in many countries has escalated its price, thereby necessitating a search for a cheaper and locally available alternative (Lamidi *et al.*, 2008). Therefore, animal nutritionists are currently focusing on cheap

but suitable alternative feedstuffs especially crop residues and industrial by-products, to sustain livestock industry (Alhassan, 1985). The evaluation of these unconventional feed resources besides other strategies would reduce pressure on the demand for conventional feed resources thereby ensuring attainment of feed security for poultry (Fajimi *et al.*, 1993).

\*Correspondence: E-mail: [saintaustin01@yahoo.com](mailto:saintaustin01@yahoo.com)

Adelaja Augustine Alade, Africa Centre of Excellence in Agricultural Development and Sustainable Environment, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria  
Tel.: + 2348064961246

Received: May 5, 2020

Accepted: July 31, 2020

Sawdust is a lignocellulosic material that is burnt away annually in industrial sites resulting in pollution thereby aggravating the existing environmental hazards. Millions of tons of these lignocellulosic materials, which are wasted every year are found around industrial sites such as sugar mills and sawmills (Pigden and Bender, 1975). Although, there is scarce information concerning the utilization of sawdust by chickens (Oke and Oke, 2001). Ibrahim (2003) attempted a study on exploring the potentials of sawdust as a livestock feed and reported encouraging results. Moreover, Anigbogu *et al.* (2008) revealed that sawdust, ammoniated sawdust and biodegraded sawdust have been used in animal feeding. Gohl (1981) reported the use of sawdust in livestock feed in an attempt to solve the problem of disposing of the by-product. The major limitation in the use of sawdust as feed (which constitute about 62.10 % of crude fibre-lignocellulosic plant material) is the crystalline nature of the cellulose and recalcitrance of lignin (Chesson *et al.*, 1983).

Efforts to improve the bioconversion of cellulosic material as feed have been made during the last decades. The chemical and physical methods of treatment have improved to some extent the availability of nutrients in feeds, but are not yet acceptable to the farmers (Igba-Shan and Miller, 1983).

Moreover, microbial technology using efficient microorganisms and Innovation Solid-State Fermentation (SSF) technology (Anigbogu *et al.*, 2009) may be appropriate for the biological conversion of sawdust (lignocellulosic waste) to valuable feed, making enzymatic hydrolysis more accessible in the rumen (Lewis *et al.*, 1996). Oke and Oke (2007) reported that up to 80 g.kg<sup>-1</sup> sawdust from *Daniella ogea* tree can be included in broiler chicken diets without any detrimental effect on their weight gain and reduced cost of production. The authors suggested biological and chemical treatment of this lignocellulose waste in order to improve its digestibility. *Zymomonas mobilis* is a bacterium belonging to the *Zymomonas* genus that is known for its bio-ethanol production efficiency (Seo *et al.*, 2005, Gunasekaran and Chandra, 1999), with activities that surpass yeast in some aspects. It is generally found in African palm wine and Mexican pulque. It is a rod-shaped gram-negative bacterium. It is 2–6 µm long and 1–1.4 µm wide but can vary significantly

(Yanase *et al.*, 2005; Cazetta *et al.*, 2007). Its ability to efficiently ferment carbohydrates using the Entner-Doudoroff pathway makes it an attractive option for life-enzyme for animal feed (Onyejekwe, 2010). *Zymomonas mobilis* has shorter fermentation time (300–400 %) than yeast with higher ethanol yield (92–94 % versus 88–90 % for yeast). It can convert sugar mixtures to ethanol with 90–95 % efficiency as reported in the University of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy report, United States of America (Ichita, 2006).

However, blood functions in the transportation of nutrients, gases and metabolic waste products around the body of livestock (Zhou *et al.*, 1999), it can be used to assess the clinical and nutritional health status of the animals (Olorode and Longe, 2000). The effects of feedstuffs on the haematological factors of the poultry birds can be used in deciding whether such feedstuffs should be included or not in poultry nutrition (Mmereole, 2008). Mitruka and Rawnsley (1977) reported that high packed cell volume and haemoglobin contents are linked with high feed conversion efficiency while a high percentage of lymphocytes is associated with the ability of the poultry birds to perform well under very stressful conditions (Mmereole, 2008). The chemistry of serum or analysis of serum metabolites such as cholesterol, urea, etc., in the blood system, is for the purposes of detecting organ diseases in domestic animals and the amount of available proteins in the diets (Iyayi and Tewe, 1998). The serum biochemical constituents are positively correlated with the quality of the diet (Brown and Clime, 1972; Adeyemi *et al.*, 2000). Kaneko (1997) reported that the serum protein profile and the absolute values of individual fractions are an excellent basis for a tentative diagnosis.

Therefore, this study was carried out to determine the effects of untreated or treated sawdust on haematology, serum metabolites, carcass characteristics and sensory evaluation of the meat of broiler chickens.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Research Station and tested ingredients

The study was carried out at the poultry unit, Directorate of University Farms, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria. The sawdust was

collected from different sawmills in Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria, bagged and stored in pallets. The sawdust was biologically treated by the traditional setting according to Anigbogu *et al.* (2009) using 50 kg sawdust placed into fermentation vat (Volume = 200 litres) with 100 litres of water added to 5 kg of previously fermented dough containing *Zymomonas mobilis*, which acted as starter inoculums. The sample was homogeneously mixed and kept to be fermented for a period of 20 days at

room temperature in the range of 23.1 °C to 24.6 °C, after which the fermented product was sun-dried, analysed and stored as life-enzyme (sawdust degraded *Z. mobilis* microbes) for the experimental study.

#### Management of birds and experimental diets

Three hundred and seventy-five (375) 1-day old unsexed marshal broiler chicks were obtained from Obasanjo Farms Nigeria Limited, Nigeria. They

**Table 1. Percentage composition of experimental broiler chicken diets (DM- Basis)**

| Ingredients                  | Starter diets |                     |                     |                     |                   | Finisher diets |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                              |               | - <i>Z. mobilis</i> | - <i>Z. mobilis</i> | + <i>Z. mobilis</i> | <i>Z. mobilis</i> |                | - <i>Z. mobilis</i> | - <i>Z. mobilis</i> | + <i>Z. mobilis</i> | + <i>Z. mobilis</i> |
|                              | 0 %           | 50 %                | 100 %               | 50 %                | 100 %             | 0 %            | 50 %                | 100 %               | 50 %                | 100 %               |
| Maize                        | 53.60         | 53.60               | 53.60               | 53.60               | 53.60             | 54.60          | 54.60               | 54.60               | 54.60               | 54.60               |
| Soybean meal                 | 29.50         | 29.50               | 29.50               | 29.50               | 29.50             | 23.50          | 23.50               | 23.50               | 23.50               | 23.50               |
| Fish meal                    | 5.00          | 5.00                | 5.00                | 5.00                | 5.00              | 5.00           | 5.00                | 5.00                | 5.00                | 5.00                |
| Groundnut cake               | 2.50          | 2.50                | 2.50                | 2.50                | 2.50              | 2.50           | 2.50                | 2.50                | 2.50                | 2.50                |
| Wheat offal                  | 5.00          | 2.50                | 0.00                | 2.50                | 0.00              | 10.00          | 5.00                | 0.00                | 5.00                | 0.00                |
| Sawdust                      | 0.00          | 2.50                | 5.00                | 2.50                | 5.00              | 0.00           | 5.00                | 10.00               | 5.00                | 10.00               |
| Bone meal                    | 2.00          | 2.00                | 2.00                | 2.00                | 2.00              | 2.00           | 2.00                | 2.00                | 2.00                | 2.00                |
| Limestone                    | 1.50          | 1.50                | 1.50                | 1.50                | 1.50              | 1.50           | 1.50                | 1.50                | 1.50                | 1.50                |
| Broiler premix <sup>ab</sup> | 0.25          | 0.25                | 0.25                | 0.25                | 0.25              | 0.25           | 0.25                | 0.25                | 0.25                | 0.25                |
| Lysine                       | 0.10          | 0.10                | 0.10                | 0.10                | 0.10              | 0.10           | 0.10                | 0.10                | 0.10                | 0.10                |
| Methionine <sup>c</sup>      | 0.20          | 0.20                | 0.20                | 0.20                | 0.20              | 0.20           | 0.20                | 0.20                | 0.20                | 0.20                |
| Toxin binder                 | 0.10          | 0.10                | 0.10                | 0.10                | 0.10              | 0.10           | 0.10                | 0.10                | 0.10                | 0.10                |
| Salt                         | 0.25          | 0.25                | 0.25                | 0.25                | 0.25              | 0.25           | 0.25                | 0.25                | 0.25                | 0.25                |
| TOTAL                        | 100.00        | 100.00              | 100.00              | 100.00              | 100.00            | 100.00         | 100.00              | 100.00              | 100.00              | 100.00              |
| <b>Calculated analysis:</b>  |               |                     |                     |                     |                   |                |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| Metabolizable energy (MJ/Kg) | 12.00         | 11.88               | 11.76               | 11.95               | 11.91             | 11.92          | 11.68               | 11.44               | 11.83               | 11.74               |
| Crude Protein (%)            | 23.38         | 23.01               | 22.64               | 23.14               | 22.89             | 21.68          | 20.94               | 20.20               | 21.19               | 20.70               |
| Crude Fibre (%)              | 3.62          | 4.97                | 6.32                | 4.69                | 5.77              | 3.67           | 6.37                | 9.07                | 5.82                | 7.97                |
| Ether Extract (%)            | 3.83          | 3.75                | 3.68                | 3.86                | 3.90              | 3.83           | 3.69                | 3.54                | 3.91                | 3.98                |
| Ash (%)                      | 2.60          | 2.82                | 3.03                | 2.65                | 2.70              | 2.26           | 2.68                | 3.10                | 2.36                | 2.46                |
| Nitrogen Free Extract (%)    | 50.57         | 49.45               | 48.33               | 49.65               | 49.33             | 52.56          | 50.32               | 48.09               | 50.72               | 48.89               |
| Calcium (%)                  | 1.48          | 1.48                | 1.48                | 1.48                | 1.48              | 1.47           | 1.47                | 1.46                | 1.47                | 1.46                |
| Phosphorus (%)               | 0.57          | 0.59                | 0.61                | 0.59                | 0.61              | 0.54           | 0.57                | 0.61                | 0.57                | 0.61                |

<sup>a</sup>Starter Vitamin-Mineral Premix: (Rotinol) based on 2.5 kg/ton (Thiamine, 2000 mg, riboflavin, 7000 mg, pyridoxine, 5000 mg, cyanocobalamine, 1700 mg, niacin, 30,000 mg, D-panthotenate, 10,000 mg, folic acid, 800 mg, biotin, 2000 mg, Retinyl acetate, 12,000 iu., cholecalciferol, 2,400,000 iu., tocopherol acetate, 35,000 iu., menadione, 4,000 mg, ascorbic acid, 60,000 mg, manganese, nill, iron, 70,200 mg, zinc, nill, copper, nill, cobalt, 200 mg, iodine, 400 mg, selenium, 80 mg, choline chloride, 500,000 mg.

<sup>b</sup>Finisher Vitamin-Mineral Premix: (Rotinol) based on 2.5 kg/ton (Thiamine, 1000 mg, riboflavin, 6000 mg, pyridoxine, 5000 mg, cyanocobalamine, 25 mg, niacin, 60,000 mg., D-panthotenate, 20,000 mg, folic acid, 200 mg, D-biotin, 8 mg, Retinyl acetate, 40 mg, cholecalciferol, 500mg, tocopherol acetate, 40,000 mg., menadione, 800 mg, ascorbic acid, 60,000 mg, manganese, nill, iron, 80,000 mg, zinc, nill, copper, nill, cobalt, 80 mg, iodine, 400 mg, selenium, 40 mg, choline chloride, 80,000 mg.

<sup>c</sup>Methionine Hydroxyl Analog (MHA): (Novus International Inc.St. Charles, MO), feed supplement providing 84% Methionine activity.

were weighed and randomly allotted to five dietary treatments. A total of 75 birds were used per treatment and the treatments were replicated 5 times with 15 birds each. The chicks were brooded for 2 weeks. All routine vaccinations and necessary medication were administered to the birds. Feed and water were supplied to the broiler chickens *ad libitum*. The birds were raised for eight weeks (0–4 weeks for the starter phase and 4–8 weeks for the finisher phase). The test diets were actually formulated to include untreated and *Z. mobilis* - treated sawdust at varying levels of 0, 50 and 100 % replacing wheat offal weight for weight basis.

The composition of the experimental broiler chicken diet is shown in Table 1.

### Chemical analysis

The proximate composition of crude protein, crude fibre, ether extract and ash of the samples of untreated and treated sawdust was determined according to the standard procedures of AOAC (2015). The fibre fraction such as neutral detergent fibre (NDF), acid detergent fibre (ADF) and acid detergent lignin (ADL) was determined by the methods of Van Soest *et al.* (1991). Calcium and phosphorus of the test ingredients were determined by the methods of Grueling (1966). Gross energy of the samples was determined using a Gallenkamp Ballistic bomb calorimeter (Cam Metric Ltd., Cambridge, UK).

### Data collection

#### Blood collection and Analysis

Blood samples were collected individually from 75 broiler chickens (3 birds per replicate) via the wing veins using sterilized syringe at the end of the starting and finishing phases of the feeding trials. About 2.5 ml of the blood sample were collected from each bird into vials containing ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA) as an anticoagulant for the determination of haematological parameters. However, another set was collected into heparinised tubes for serum biochemistry measurement. Haemoglobin concentration was estimated using the cyanmethaemoglobin method (Cannan, 1958), packed cell volume (PCV), red blood cell (RBC) and white blood cell (WBC) count of the blood samples were determined in Wintrobe haematocrit tube according to the method of Schalm *et al.* (1975). Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin (MCH), Mean Corpuscular

Volume (MCV) and Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin Concentration (MCHC) were calculated as described by Jain (1986).

### Carcass and organ weight determination

At the end of 8 weeks, seventy-five broiler chickens (3 birds per replicate) were selected at random and starved for about 18 hours to empty their crops for each experiment. They were slaughtered by cervical dislocation, allowed to bleed, scalded in warm water and defeathered. They were thereafter taken to the laboratory where other measurements like the dressed weight, the weight of the cut parts and organs were taken with a sensitive electronic scale within 1 hour after slaughtering. The weight of the cut-up parts and organs was expressed as a percentage of live weight according to "Modified Kosher" method as described by Abe *et al.*, (1996), while the dressing percentage was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Dressing \%} = \frac{\text{Eviscerated weight}}{\text{Liveweight}} \times \frac{100}{1}$$

### Sensory evaluation

The sensory evaluation of cooked samples of broiler chicken breast minced meat from three birds per replicate was carried out by twenty panellists. Parameters that were evaluated by the panellists include colour, juiciness, flavour, tenderness and overall acceptability. Each meat sample was coded and presented one after the other to each member of the panel. Each member rinsed his or her mouth with water after assessing each meat sample to avoid carry-over effect. The panellists awarded scores using a nine (9) point hedonic scale of (i) Dislike extremely (ii) Dislike very much (iii) Dislike moderately (iv) Dislike slightly (v) Intermediate (vi) Like slightly (vii) Like moderately (viii) Like very much (ix) and Like extremely (Ogunwole *et al.*, 2013).

### Experimental design and Statistical analysis

The experimental design used for this study was a completely randomized design (CRD). All data collected were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) as outlined by Daniel (1995) with the aid of SAS (2001) and the significant means separated by Duncan's multiple range test at 5 % level of significance (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

## RESULTS

The result of the proximate composition of the untreated and treated sawdust is shown in Table 2. The biodegradation of the sawdust with *Zymomonas mobilis* led to increased ( $p < 0.05$ ) values of crude protein, ether extract, nitrogen free extract,

gross energy and metabolizable energy. There was a significant reduction ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the values of crude fibre, fibre fraction and ash of the sawdust. The crude fibre, neutral detergent fibre, acid detergent fibre and acid detergent lignin had 21.37 %, 35.32 %, 58.92 % and 189.36 % reduction, respectively after degradation.

**Table 2. Proximate analysis of untreated and treated sawdust\* (SD) (DM-basis)**

| Components (%)                                | Untreated SD       | Treated SD         | t-test ( <i>P</i> -value) |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Dry matter                                    | 89.51 <sup>a</sup> | 86.50 <sup>b</sup> | 21.69 (0.0001)            |
| Moisture                                      | 10.49 <sup>b</sup> | 13.50 <sup>a</sup> | -21.69 (0.0001)           |
| Crude protein                                 | 2.14 <sup>b</sup>  | 7.13 <sup>a</sup>  | -35.95 (0.0001)           |
| Crude fibre                                   | 62.48 <sup>a</sup> | 51.50 <sup>b</sup> | 79.10 (0.0001)            |
| Ether extract                                 | 0.60 <sup>b</sup>  | 5.00 <sup>a</sup>  | -31.70 (0.0001)           |
| Nitrogen free extract                         | 15.82 <sup>b</sup> | 20.87 <sup>a</sup> | -36.38 (0.0001)           |
| Ash   | 8.47 <sup>a</sup>  | 2.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 46.61 (0.0001)            |
| Neutral detergent fibre                       | 89.31 <sup>a</sup> | 66.00 <sup>b</sup> | 167.93 (0.0001)           |
| Acid detergent fibre                          | 76.76 <sup>a</sup> | 48.00 <sup>b</sup> | 207.20 (0.0001)           |
| Acid detergent lignin                         | 40.51 <sup>a</sup> | 14.00 <sup>b</sup> | 191.00 (0.0001)           |
| Calcium (g.kg <sup>-1</sup> DM)               | 0.05               | 0.04               | 1.23 (0.2879)             |
| Phosphorus (g.kg <sup>-1</sup> DM)            | 0.85               | 0.83               | 0.144 (0.8924)            |
| **Metabolizable energy (MJ.kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | 2.90 <sup>b</sup>  | 5.92 <sup>a</sup>  | -21.76 (0.0001)           |

Means on the same row having different superscripts are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

\*Average of three determinations.

\*\*Metabolizable energy values were calculated using the method.

37 x % CP + 81 x % EE + 35.5 x % NFE for poultry birds (Fisher and Boorman, 1986).

The haematological and serum metabolites of starting broiler chickens fed diets containing untreated and *Zymomonas mobilis*-treated sawdust are shown in Table 3. The dietary treatments influenced ( $p < 0.05$ ) the packed cell volume (PCV), red blood cell (RBC), white blood cell (WBC), heterophil, lymphocytes, eosinophils, monocytes and basophils. The broiler chickens fed 100 % untreated sawdust-based diet (UTSD) had the highest ( $p < 0.05$ ) values for packed cell volume, red blood cell and lymphocytes while the birds fed 100 % *Zymomonas mobilis*-treated sawdust-based diet (ZTSD) had the highest value for white blood cell and birds on 50 % ZTSD had the highest value for heterophil. However, the birds fed 100 % ZTSD had the lowest values for PCV, RBC, WBC and lymphocytes while the least value for heterophil was recorded in 100 % UTSD. The dietary treatments significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) influenced the total protein,

albumin, globulin, glucose, cholesterol, uric acid, creatinine, AST and ALT. The birds fed 50 % ZTSD had highest ( $p < 0.05$ ) values for total protein, albumin and globulin but the lowest values for these serum metabolites were obtained in the control group and 100 % ZTSD.

Haematological and serum metabolites of finishing broiler chickens fed sawdust-based diets are shown in Table 4. The PCV, haemoglobin, RBC and WBC were influenced ( $p < 0.05$ ) by the experimental diets. The birds fed 50 % UTSD had the highest value for PCV while the least value was recorded in 100 % ZTSD. The highest values recorded in birds fed 50 % UTSD for haemoglobin and RBC were similar ( $p > 0.05$ ) to those of the birds fed the control diet. The MCH, MCHC and MCV were affected ( $p < 0.05$ ) by the dietary treatments. The highest value for MCV recorded in birds fed 50 % ZTSD was similar ( $p > 0.05$ ) to the values obtained for birds on the



**Table 3. Haematological parameters and serum metabolites of starting broiler chickens (0 – 4 weeks) fed diets containing untreated and treated sawdust**

| Parameters  | Dietary treatments  |                     |                     |                     |                     | SEM  |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------|
|   | 1<br>Control diet   | 2<br>50 % UTSD      | 3<br>100 % UTSD     | 4<br>50 % ZTSD      | 5<br>100 % ZTSD     |      |
| <u>Haematological parameters:</u>                                   |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |      |
| Packed cell volume (%)  | 27.50 <sup>c</sup>  | 30.50 <sup>b</sup>  | 32.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 26.00 <sup>d</sup>  | 26.50 <sup>cd</sup> | 0.65 |
| Haemoglobin (g.dl <sup>-1</sup> )                                   | 8.90                | 10.00               | 10.50               | 8.50                | 8.70                | 0.31 |
| Red blood cell (x 10 <sup>12</sup> .L <sup>-1</sup> )               | 2.25 <sup>ab</sup>  | 2.70 <sup>ab</sup>  | 2.88 <sup>a</sup>   | 2.15 <sup>b</sup>   | 2.50 <sup>ab</sup>  | 0.11 |
| Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin (pg)                                   | 40.19               | 37.06               | 37.35               | 39.56               | 35.88               | 1.61 |
| Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin in Concentration (g.dl <sup>-1</sup> ) | 32.41               | 32.86               | 32.80               | 32.77               | 32.85               | 0.86 |
| Mean Corpuscular Volume (fl)  | 123.07              | 112.96              | 113.66              | 121.54              | 108.67              | 3.66 |
| White blood cell (x 10 <sup>9</sup> .L <sup>-1</sup> )              | 19.50 <sup>b</sup>  | 13.90 <sup>d</sup>  | 12.05 <sup>e</sup>  | 16.20 <sup>c</sup>  | 21.15 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.91 |
| Heterophil (%)  | 31.00 <sup>cd</sup> | 33.50 <sup>bc</sup> | 29.00 <sup>d</sup>  | 37.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 36.00 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.86 |
| Lymphocytes (%)   | 65.50 <sup>b</sup>  | 63.50 <sup>b</sup>  | 70.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 61.50 <sup>b</sup>  | 62.50 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.95 |
| Eosinophil (%)  | 0.50 <sup>b</sup>   | 1.00 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.00 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.00 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.50 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.12 |
| Monocytes (%)   | 1.50 <sup>a</sup>   | 2.00 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.50 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.50 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.50 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.18 |
| Basophils (%)   | 1.50 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.00 <sup>c</sup>   | 0.50 <sup>bc</sup>  | 1.00 <sup>ab</sup>  | 0.50 <sup>bc</sup>  | 0.17 |
| <u>Serum metabolites:</u>   |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |      |
| Total protein (g.dl <sup>-1</sup> )                                 | 3.50 <sup>b</sup>   | 4.20 <sup>a</sup>   | 3.40 <sup>b</sup>   | 4.50 <sup>a</sup>   | 2.55 <sup>c</sup>   | 0.19 |
| Albumin (g.dl <sup>-1</sup> )                                       | 1.30 <sup>b</sup>   | 2.10 <sup>a</sup>   | 2.00 <sup>a</sup>   | 2.20 <sup>a</sup>   | 1.35 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.11 |
| Globulin (g.dl <sup>-1</sup> )                                      | 2.20 <sup>a</sup>   | 2.10 <sup>a</sup>   | 1.40 <sup>b</sup>   | 2.30 <sup>a</sup>   | 1.20 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.13 |
| Glucose (mg.dl <sup>-1</sup> )                                      | 118.50 <sup>d</sup> | 112.00 <sup>e</sup> | 127.50 <sup>a</sup> | 125.50 <sup>b</sup> | 123.50 <sup>c</sup> | 1.49 |
| Cholesterol (mg.dl <sup>-1</sup> )                                  | 90.00 <sup>c</sup>  | 88.50 <sup>c</sup>  | 97.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 103.00 <sup>a</sup> | 90.50 <sup>c</sup>  | 1.48 |
| Uric Acid (mg.dl <sup>-1</sup> )                                    | 4.20 <sup>c</sup>   | 5.75 <sup>a</sup>   | 4.20 <sup>c</sup>   | 5.35 <sup>b</sup>   | 3.95 <sup>d</sup>   | 0.19 |
| Creatinine (mg.dl <sup>-1</sup> )                                   | 0.65 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.50 <sup>c</sup>   | 0.75 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.70 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.95 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.04 |
| Aspartate Amino-Transferase (μKat.L <sup>-1</sup> )                 | 1.11                | 0.80                | 0.92                | 0.87                | 0.80                | 0.05 |
| Alanine Amino-Transferase (μKat.L <sup>-1</sup> )                   | 0.36                | 0.35                | 0.45                | 0.42                | 0.37                | 0.04 |

Means on the same row having different superscripts are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ); SEM: Standard Error of Mean; n = 5.

control diet and 100 % ZTSD while the least value was recorded in broilers fed 50 % UTSD. The birds fed the control diet had the highest value for WBC, however, the least value was observed in birds fed 50 % ZTSD. The highest value for heterophil was recorded in 50 % UTSD with a similar value in 100 % UTSD while the lowest value obtained in 50 % ZTSD was similar ( $p > 0.05$ ) to the value in control group and 100 % ZTSD. The finishing broiler chickens fed 50 % UTSD had the highest values ( $p < 0.05$ ) for total protein, albumin, globulin and uric acid while birds on 50 % ZTSD had the least values for total protein and albumin. The AST and ALT were significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) affected by the dietary treatments. The highest value of AST was obtained in 100 % ZTSD while the lowest value was recorded in the control diet. Moreover, the birds fed 50 %

UTSD had the highest ( $p < 0.05$ ) value for ALT while the lowest value was recorded in 100 % UTSD.

The carcass characteristics of broiler chickens fed sawdust-based diets are shown in Table 5.

The dietary treatments influenced ( $p < 0.05$ ) the dressed weight and eviscerated weight. The broiler chickens fed 50 % ZTSD had the highest value while the least value was observed in birds fed 100 % UTSD. The birds on other diets had similar ( $p > 0.05$ ) values with the control group. The birds fed 50 % UTSD had highest ( $p < 0.05$ ) value of eviscerated weight which had similar ( $p > 0.05$ ) values with birds on the control diet and 100 % ZTSD. The highest value ( $p < 0.05$ ) of breast recorded in the birds fed control diet was similar ( $p > 0.05$ ) to birds fed 100 % UTSD while the lowest value was observed in birds fed 50 % UTSD. The highest

**Table 4. Haematological parameters and serum metabolites of finishing broiler chickens (4 – 8 weeks) fed diets containing untreated and treated sawdust**

| Parameters   | Dietary treatments  |                     |                     |                     |                     | SEM  |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------|
|  | 1<br>Control diet   | 2<br>50 % UTSD      | 3<br>100 % UTSD     | 4<br>50 % ZTSD      | 5<br>100 % ZTSD     |      |
| <u>Haematological parameters:</u>                                      |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |      |
| Packed cell volume (%)   | 39.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 53.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 37.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 34.00 <sup>c</sup>  | 28.00 <sup>d</sup>  | 2.23 |
| Haemoglobin (g.dl <sup>-1</sup> )                                      | 12.50 <sup>a</sup>  | 11.90 <sup>a</sup>  | 12.40 <sup>a</sup>  | 11.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 9.50 <sup>c</sup>   | 0.31 |
| Red blood cell (x 10 <sup>12</sup> .L <sup>-1</sup> )                  | 3.30 <sup>ab</sup>  | 3.50 <sup>a</sup>   | 3.00 <sup>bc</sup>  | 2.60 <sup>cd</sup>  | 2.30 <sup>d</sup>   | 0.13 |
| Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin (pg)                                      | 37.91 <sup>ab</sup> | 34.01 <sup>b</sup>  | 42.14 <sup>a</sup>  | 42.30 <sup>a</sup>  | 41.29 <sup>a</sup>  | 1.12 |
| Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin<br>in Concentration (g.dl <sup>-1</sup> ) | 32.09 <sup>a</sup>  | 22.47 <sup>b</sup>  | 33.57 <sup>a</sup>  | 32.40 <sup>a</sup>  | 33.96 <sup>a</sup>  | 1.22 |
| Mean Corpuscular Volume (fl)   | 31.25 <sup>b</sup>  | 44.53 <sup>a</sup>  | 29.83 <sup>b</sup>  | 30.98 <sup>b</sup>  | 29.55 <sup>b</sup>  | 1.58 |
| White blood cell (x 10 <sup>9</sup> .L <sup>-1</sup> )                 | 23.10 <sup>a</sup>  | 17.00 <sup>c</sup>  | 15.60 <sup>d</sup>  | 12.90 <sup>e</sup>  | 18.20 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.91 |
| Heterophil (%)   | 31.00 <sup>bc</sup> | 35.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 32.00 <sup>ab</sup> | 28.00 <sup>c</sup>  | 31.00 <sup>bc</sup> | 0.70 |
| Lymphocytes (%)  | 65.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 60.00 <sup>c</sup>  | 67.00 <sup>ab</sup> | 70.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 68.00 <sup>ab</sup> | 1.05 |
| Eosinophil (%)   | 0.00 <sup>b</sup>   | 1.00 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.00 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.00 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.00 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.12 |
| Monocytes (%)  | 3.00 <sup>a</sup>   | 2.00 <sup>ab</sup>  | 1.00 <sup>bc</sup>  | 1.00 <sup>bc</sup>  | 0.00 <sup>c</sup>   | 0.30 |
| Basophils (%)  | 1.00 <sup>ab</sup>  | 2.00 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.00 <sup>b</sup>   | 1.00 <sup>ab</sup>  | 1.00 <sup>ab</sup>  | 0.21 |
| <u>Serum metabolites:</u>  |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |      |
| Total protein (g.dl <sup>-1</sup> )                                    | 3.10 <sup>c</sup>   | 6.30 <sup>a</sup>   | 4.60 <sup>b</sup>   | 2.10 <sup>e</sup>   | 2.70 <sup>d</sup>   | 0.41 |
| Albumin (g.dl <sup>-1</sup> )  | 1.80 <sup>b</sup>   | 2.90 <sup>a</sup>   | 1.50 <sup>bc</sup>  | 1.00 <sup>c</sup>   | 1.80 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.18 |
| Globulin (g.dl <sup>-1</sup> )   | 1.30 <sup>c</sup>   | 3.40 <sup>a</sup>   | 3.10 <sup>b</sup>   | 1.10 <sup>d</sup>   | 0.90 <sup>e</sup>   | 0.29 |
| Glucose (mg.dl <sup>-1</sup> )   | 120.00 <sup>c</sup> | 122.00 <sup>b</sup> | 112.00 <sup>e</sup> | 115.00 <sup>d</sup> | 133.00 <sup>a</sup> | 1.94 |
| Cholesterol (mg.dl <sup>-1</sup> )                                     | 87.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 76.00 <sup>e</sup>  | 84.00 <sup>c</sup>  | 82.00 <sup>d</sup>  | 90.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 1.29 |
| Uric Acid (mg.dl <sup>-1</sup> )                                       | 3.20 <sup>b</sup>   | 5.00 <sup>a</sup>   | 4.80 <sup>a</sup>   | 3.00 <sup>b</sup>   | 2.80 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.28 |
| Creatinine (mg.dl <sup>-1</sup> )                                      | 0.10 <sup>c</sup>   | 0.40 <sup>ab</sup>  | 0.40 <sup>ab</sup>  | 0.30 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.50 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.04 |
| Aspartate Amino-Transferase (μKat.L <sup>-1</sup> )                    | 0.77                | 0.85                | 0.83                | 0.82                | 1.07                | 0.05 |
| Alanine Amino-Transferase (μKat.L <sup>-1</sup> )                      | 0.41                | 0.48                | 0.24                | 0.32                | 0.39                | 0.04 |

Means on the same row having different superscripts are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ); SEM: Standard Error of Mean; n = 5.

value of thigh recorded in the birds fed control diet were similar ( $p > 0.05$ ) to the values of birds fed 50 % UTSD, 100 % UTSD and 50 % ZTSD except in birds fed 100 % ZTSD which had the least value. The back had similar ( $p > 0.05$ ) values across the dietary treatments except in 100 % ZTSD which had the least value. The organ weight was affected ( $p < 0.05$ ) by the dietary treatments. The highest value of the whole gastrointestinal tract was observed in 100 % ZTSD while the lowest value was recorded in 50 % UTSD.

The sensory evaluation of meats from broiler chickens fed sawdust-based diets is shown in Table 6. The dietary treatments significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) influenced the colour, juiciness, flavour, tenderness and overall acceptability. The highest value recorded

in the control diet for colour was statistically ( $p > 0.05$ ) similar to the values obtained in 100 % UTSD and 50 % ZTSD but the lowest value was recorded in 50 % UTSD. The control diet had the highest value for overall acceptability which was similar ( $p > 0.05$ ) to the values obtained in 100 % UTSD and 50 % ZTSD but the lowest value was recorded in 50 % UTSD.

## DISCUSSION

The proximate composition of the experimental diets met the nutrient requirements of the starting and finishing broiler chickens in the tropics as stated by Olomu (1995).

**Table 5. Carcass characteristics of broiler chickens fed diets containing untreated and treated sawdust**

| Parameters                    | Dietary treatments    |                      |                      |                      |                       | SEM   |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------|
|                               | 1<br>Control diet     | 2<br>50 % UTSD       | 3<br>100 % UTSD      | 4<br>50 % ZTSD       | 5<br>100 % ZTSD       |       |
| Live weight (g)               | 1850.00               | 1900.00              | 1900.00              | 1900.00              | 1850.00               | 17.75 |
| Dressed weight (g)            | 1720.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 1740.00 <sup>b</sup> | 1500.00 <sup>c</sup> | 1850.00 <sup>a</sup> | 1680.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 33.07 |
| Eviscerated weight (g)        | 1320.00 <sup>ab</sup> | 1360.00 <sup>a</sup> | 1280.00 <sup>b</sup> | 1250.00 <sup>b</sup> | 1300.00 <sup>ab</sup> | 12.73 |
| Dressing percentage (%)       | 71.35                 | 71.79                | 67.47                | 65.77                | 70.33                 | 0.97  |
| <b>Cut parts (% of LW)</b>    |                       |                      |                      |                      |                       |       |
| Head (%)                      | 3.24 <sup>b</sup>     | 3.16 <sup>b</sup>    | 3.16 <sup>b</sup>    | 3.16 <sup>b</sup>    | 4.32 <sup>a</sup>     | 0.13  |
| Breast (%)                    | 21.62 <sup>a</sup>    | 18.95 <sup>c</sup>   | 21.05 <sup>ab</sup>  | 20.00 <sup>b</sup>   | 19.46 <sup>c</sup>    | 0.30  |
| Thigh (%)                     | 10.81 <sup>a</sup>    | 10.53 <sup>a</sup>   | 10.53 <sup>a</sup>   | 10.53 <sup>a</sup>   | 9.73 <sup>b</sup>     | 0.10  |
| Drumstick (%)                 | 10.81                 | 10.53                | 10.53                | 10.53                | 11.89                 | 0.24  |
| Wing (%)                      | 8.65 <sup>c</sup>     | 9.47 <sup>b</sup>    | 8.42 <sup>d</sup>    | 9.47 <sup>b</sup>    | 16.22 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.78  |
| Back (%)                      | 16.22 <sup>a</sup>    | 16.84 <sup>a</sup>   | 16.84 <sup>a</sup>   | 15.79 <sup>a</sup>   | 9.73 <sup>b</sup>     | 0.74  |
| Neck (%)                      | 3.24 <sup>b</sup>     | 3.16 <sup>b</sup>    | 3.16 <sup>b</sup>    | 4.21 <sup>a</sup>    | 4.32 <sup>a</sup>     | 0.17  |
| Shank (%)                     | 5.41 <sup>a</sup>     | 5.26 <sup>a</sup>    | 4.21 <sup>b</sup>    | 5.26 <sup>a</sup>    | 5.41 <sup>a</sup>     | 0.15  |
| <b>Organ weight (% of LW)</b> |                       |                      |                      |                      |                       |       |
| Heart (%)                     | 0.39 <sup>c</sup>     | 0.42 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.59 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.53 <sup>ab</sup>   | 0.59 <sup>a</sup>     | 0.03  |
| Spleen (%)                    | 0.13 <sup>b</sup>     | 0.16 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.19 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.29 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.19 <sup>b</sup>     | 0.02  |
| Liver (%)                     | 1.89 <sup>d</sup>     | 2.11 <sup>c</sup>    | 2.37 <sup>b</sup>    | 2.63 <sup>a</sup>    | 2.16 <sup>c</sup>     | 0.07  |
| Kidneys (%)                   | 0.22 <sup>b</sup>     | 0.21 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.21 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.21 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.32 <sup>a</sup>     | 0.01  |
| Gizzard (%)                   | 2.16 <sup>c</sup>     | 2.11 <sup>c</sup>    | 4.21 <sup>a</sup>    | 3.16 <sup>b</sup>    | 2.16 <sup>c</sup>     | 0.22  |
| Whole GIT (%)                 | 12.97 <sup>c</sup>    | 11.71 <sup>e</sup>   | 13.68 <sup>b</sup>   | 12.63 <sup>d</sup>   | 14.05 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.22  |

Means on the same row having different superscripts are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ); SEM: Standard Error of Mean; LW: Live weight; GIT: Gastro-intestinal tract; n = 5.

**Table 6. Sensory evaluation of meat from broiler chickens fed diets containing untreated and treated sawdust**

| Parameters            | Dietary treatments |                   |                   |                   |                    | SEM  |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------|
|                       | 1<br>Control diet  | 2<br>50 % UTSD    | 3<br>100 % UTSD   | 4<br>50 % ZTSD    | 5<br>100 % ZTSD    |      |
| Colour                | 6.65 <sup>a</sup>  | 5.75 <sup>c</sup> | 6.60 <sup>a</sup> | 6.60 <sup>a</sup> | 6.25 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.09 |
| Juiciness             | 6.05 <sup>b</sup>  | 5.35 <sup>c</sup> | 6.35 <sup>a</sup> | 5.95 <sup>b</sup> | 6.12 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.10 |
| Flavour               | 5.75 <sup>a</sup>  | 5.15 <sup>b</sup> | 6.10 <sup>a</sup> | 5.70 <sup>a</sup> | 5.85 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.10 |
| Tenderness            | 6.20 <sup>c</sup>  | 5.95 <sup>d</sup> | 6.45 <sup>b</sup> | 6.65 <sup>a</sup> | 6.40 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.07 |
| Overall acceptability | 6.50 <sup>a</sup>  | 6.00 <sup>b</sup> | 6.40 <sup>a</sup> | 6.40 <sup>a</sup> | 6.15 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.05 |

<sup>abc</sup>Means on the same row having different superscripts are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ); SEM: Standard Error of Mean; n = 5.

#### Proximate composition of *Zymomonas mobilis*-fermented sawdust

The crude protein of *Zymomonas mobilis*-fermented sawdust of 7.13 % was greater than the value of 5.56 % reported by Anigbogu and Anosike (2010) for *Zymomonas mobilis*-degraded sawdust but lower

than the value reported for wheat offal by Aduku (1993). The crude fibre of 51.50 % obtained in the study was lower than the value (58.85 %) reported by Anigbogu and Anosike (2010). However, the value of ether extract of 5.00 % was greater than 0.16 % reported by the same authors. The difference might



be due to the species of trees, length of storage of the timber, sawdust at the sawmills and the milling pattern (Oke and Oke, 2007). There was an improvement in the gross energy of the fermented sawdust. This might be due to the hydrolysis of the crude fibre into disaccharides and monosaccharide which resulted in the availability and utilization of liberated energy (Faniyi, 2006; Adedire *et al.*, 2012).

#### **Haematological and serum metabolites of starting broiler chickens**

At the starter phase, the dietary treatment influenced the haematological parameters with the exception of haemoglobin, mean corpuscular haemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular volume (MCV) and mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (MCHC). The blood variables most often influenced by dietary treatments were identified as red blood cell (RBC), packed cell volume (PCV), plasma protein, glucose and clotting time (Aletor, 1989; Aletor and Egberongbe, 1992). The PCV, haemoglobin (Hb) and RBC values were within the normal ranges (22.00–35.00 %), (7.00–13.00 g.dl<sup>-1</sup>) and (1.58–3.28 x 10<sup>12</sup>L) reported by Jain (1986) and Bounous *et al.* (2000). High PCV, Hb and RBC improved oxygen-carrying capacity of the cells, which result in better availability of nutrients (Oleforuh-Okoleh *et al.*, 2015). Although there were no differences among dietary treatments for MCH, MCV and MCHC, their values were within the normal ranges (33.00–47.00 pg), (26.00–35.00 g.dl<sup>-1</sup>) and (90.00–140.00 fl) cited by Jain (1993) and Benerjee (2004). This may indicate similar haemoglobin content. This observation agreed with the findings of Fasuyi and Aletor (2005). They reported no significant differences in MCH, MCV and Hb when cassava leaf protein concentrate replaced fish meal in broiler diets. The white blood cell values were within the normal values for broiler chickens (1.20–3.00 x 10<sup>4</sup> µl) reported by Jain (1993). The WBC, heterophil, eosinophil, basophils, monocytes and lymphocyte indicate the immunity potential of the chickens. The sawdust-based diets influenced the serum metabolites of the broiler chickens. The values of total protein were within the normal range for *Gallus gallus* species that is 2.5 to 4.5 g.dl<sup>-1</sup> as cited by Thrall (2007). The values of albumin obtained in the control group and 100 % ZTSD are similar but are lower than the normal range

(2.00–3.50 g.dl<sup>-1</sup>) reported by Anon (1980) and Jain (1986). The birds on 100 % UTSD and 50 % ZTSD had values of globulin which were lower than the normal range (2.00–3.50 g.dl<sup>-1</sup>) reported by Marieb and Hoehn (2007). However, the values were within the normal range (0.5 to 1.8 g.dl<sup>-1</sup>) as cited by Thrall (2007). The total protein, albumin and globulin values obtained in the study attest to the nutritional adequacy of untreated and treated sawdust in replacing wheat offal in meeting the protein needs of the broiler chickens.

Moreover, the values of glucose (112.00–127.50 mg.dl<sup>-1</sup>) obtained in this study were lower than the normal range (200–500 mg.dl<sup>-1</sup>) reported by Café *et al.* (2012). The values of cholesterol (88.50–103.00 mg.dl<sup>-1</sup>) observed in the study were within the values (58.00–128.00 mg.dl<sup>-1</sup>) reported by Zomrawi *et al.* (2012) for broiler chickens fed ginger root powder at levels 0.5, 1 and 1.5 % respectively. The birds fed 100 % ZTSD had the lowest value of uric acid compared to other diets. Babatunde and Pond (1987) observed that blood urea concentration is inversely related to protein quality, therefore, the lowest value of total protein observed in 100 % ZTSD may be due to the inferior protein quality and/or nutrition of the sawdust.

#### **Haematological and serum metabolites of finishing broiler chickens**

The dietary treatments influenced the haematological parameters of the finishing broiler chickens. The birds fed the control diets, 50 % UTSD and 100 % UTSD had higher values of PCV compared with the normal range (22.00–35.00 %) by Bounous *et al.* (2000) while the values of PCV of birds on 50 % ZTSD and 100 % ZTSD were within the normal range. This is an indication that the fibrous feedstuffs ensure the good health status of the birds because low PCV values indicate anaemia. The haemoglobin values were within the normal range (7.00–13.00 g.dl<sup>-1</sup>) reported by Jain (1993). This might indicate that the replacement of wheat offal with untreated and treated sawdust in the broiler chicken diets was nutritionally adequate in providing a sound plane of nutrition. Lindsay (1977) reported that haemoglobin concentration decreased in livestock on low protein intake, parasite infection or liver damage. However, the PCV and Hb are correlated with the nutritional

status of the livestock which directly relates to the nutritional balance of the diet fed to the livestock (Church *et al.* 1984, Babatunde *et al.* 1987). This further indicated that all the broiler chickens had higher tendency to resist respiratory stress because Hb which is carried by the RBC is the oxygen-carrying pigment as earlier reported by Muhammad and Oloyede (2009). The red blood cell values obtained in the study were within the normal range ( $2.54\text{--}3.30 \times 10^6 \text{ mm}^{-3}$ ) reported by Aletor and Egberongbe (1992). It had been reported by Ugwuene (2011) that reduced RBC indicates a reduction in the level of oxygen that would be carried to the tissues as well as the level of carbon dioxide returned to the lungs. The values of MCH observed in the study were within the normal range (33.00–47.00 pg) reported by Jain (1993). The values of MCHC in birds fed 50 % UTSD were lower than the normal range ( $26.00\text{--}35.00 \text{ g.dl}^{-1}$ ) for broiler chickens (Jain 1993). However, all the values obtained for MCV were lower than the normal range (90.00–140.00 fl) reported by Jain (1993). MCV is an important trait which is responsible for the cell size of erythrocytes and it is an essential factor in determining the ability of poultry birds to withstand prolonged oxygen starvation (Mitruka and Rawnsley, 1977). The values of WBC were within the normal range of  $9.20\text{--}31.00 \times 10^6 \text{ mm}^{-3}$  reported in the literature (Riddell, 2011; Mitruka and Rawnsley, 1977; Banks, 1974) for healthy Nigerian local chickens. The white blood cells play an essential role in disease resistance, especially in the production of antibodies and the process of phagocytosis. The lymphocytes were the most numerous and frequent white blood cell type followed by heterophils, eosinophils and the monocytes (Afolabi *et al.*, 2011). The same trend was observed by Bounous *et al.* (2000) and described the lymphocytes as the most numerous WBC in chickens and turkeys. However, the result of the study did not agree with their reports because the values obtained for eosinophil were lower than the values of monocytes. The lymphocytes and monocytes, which are agranulocytes of WBC, were within the normal range from 47.2 to 85.0 % and 0.06 to 5.0 % respectively for a healthy chicken (Riddell, 2011; Mitruka and Rawnsley, 1977). However, the birds on 100 % ZTSD had zero value for monocytes which was lower than the value reported

in the literature. Banks (1974) reported 6 % monocytes for domestic chickens and Islam *et al.* (2004) reported 3.42 +/- 0.50 % monocytes for local chicken of Bangladesh. Moreover, lymphocytes are involved in antibody production, as they are reactive cells in inflammation and delayed hypersensitivity (Banks, 1974). Small lymphocytes may be responsible for the development of clones of plasma cells while monocytes are phagocytic cells. The high lymphocytes and heterophil count in this study are consistent with the findings of Afolabi *et al.* (2010) who also observed high lymphocytes and heterophils in chickens. This is in contrary to the reports of Oyewale (1987) who observed higher white blood cell count and lower lymphocyte counts in Nigerian fowls. The heterophils and the eosinophils that are granulocytes of WBC were within normal range from 10 to 53.6 % and 0.00 to 15 % respectively for a healthy chicken (Riddell, 2011; Pampori and Iqbal, 2007; Mitruka and Rawnsley, 1977). The eosinophils function in phagocytosis while the basophils are responsible for the elaboration of histamines and heparin in circulating blood (Afolabi, *et al.*, 2011). Moreover, the dietary treatments influenced the serum metabolites of the finishing broiler chickens. The values of total protein obtained in birds fed 50 % ZTSD ( $2.10 \text{ g.dl}^{-1}$ ) and 100% ZTSD ( $2.70 \text{ g.dl}^{-1}$ ) were lower than the normal range ( $3.00\text{--}5.00 \text{ g.dl}^{-1}$ ) reported by Obikaonu *et al.* (2012) but the higher value ( $6.30 \text{ g.dl}^{-1}$ ) was recorded in 50 % UTSD was within the normal range ( $5.00\text{--}8.00 \text{ g.dl}^{-1}$ ) reported by Anon (1980). Reddy and Salunkhe (1984) reported decreased total protein which was attributed to inhibition of protein utilization in broiler chickens. The value of albumin ( $1.00 \text{ g.dl}^{-1}$ ) recorded in 50 % ZTSD was lower than the normal range ( $2.10\text{--}3.45 \text{ g.dl}^{-1}$ ) reported by American Metabolic Testing Laboratories (2001). However, the values of globulin obtained in the control group, 50 % ZTSD and 100 % ZTSD ( $0.90\text{--}1.30 \text{ g.dl}^{-1}$ ) were lower than the normal range ( $2.00\text{--}3.50 \text{ g.dl}^{-1}$ ) reported by Marieb and Hoehn (2007). Globulin carries essential metals through the bloodstream to the various parts of the body of farm animals. It helps to fight infections in the body of animals. Therefore, high globulin levels are often pronounced in birds with serious infections because of abnormally increased production of antibodies.

The values of globulin observed in the study revealed that the inclusion of treated sawdust in the broiler diet did not precipitate any severe effects on the health status of the birds. Serum urea can be used as a test of protein break down, renal function, hydration status and liver failure (Agboola *et al.*, 2013). The concentration of uric acid also depends on a diet especially those with high protein content. However, the values of uric acid in this study were lower than the normal range (7.00–21.00 mg.dl<sup>-1</sup>) reported by American Metabolic Testing Laboratories, (2001). The values of uric acid obtained in 50 % ZTSD and 100 % ZTSD were similar to the value in the control group. This probably suggested that there were better digestion, utilization and absorption of protein from the treated sawdust used which invariably improved protein utilization. However, a high concentration of urea may be toxic to both the liver and kidney while low levels could be due to low protein intake or severe liver failure (Oyebimpe, 2012). It had been reported by Baron (1973) that increased concentration of creatinine is associated with renal impairment. The values of glucose in this study were within the normal range (65.00–140.00 mg.dl<sup>-1</sup>) reported by American Metabolic Testing Laboratories (2001). The cholesterol values were within the values (76.30–115.57 mg.dl<sup>-1</sup>) reported by Onyimonyi *et al.* (2012) who fed dried garlic powder to broiler chickens. However, the values were lower than (100.30–108.21 mg.dl<sup>-1</sup>) reported by Aderemi (2004), (93.33–116.67 mg.dl<sup>-1</sup>) by Nworgu (2004) and (143.10–163.00 mg.dl<sup>-1</sup>) reported by Nworgu *et al.* (2007). This will restore the confidence of consumers who earlier had reduced or stopped their consumption of chicken due to cholesterol scare. Also, this will protect the consumers from the negative effect of cholesterol which includes obesity, heart attack and stroke (Onyimonyi *et al.*, 2012). Ekpenyong and Biobaku (1986) reported that the levels of SAST and SALT are normally low in blood but they become high when the plane of nutrition is low or when there is an occurrence of liver damage by toxic substances. The values of aspartate aminotransferase (AST) were comparable to the values reported by Sobayo *et al.* (2013) when they fed graded levels of *Garcinia kola* (Bitter kola used as phytobiotic in broiler chicken diets. Moreover, the values of alanine aminotransferase

(ALT) were within the values reported by the same authors.

#### **Carcass characteristics of broiler chickens fed diets containing untreated and treated sawdust**

There was no difference in the live weight of the broiler chickens fed the experimental diets. This was in concert with the observation of Odeh *et al.* (2016) who reported no differences between treatments for the live weight. However, there were differences in dressed weight and eviscerated weight. This was contrary to the findings of Abdulraheem *et al.* (2006) who observed no statistical differences between treatment groups when rice bran was used to replace maize in broiler chicken diets. Birds on 50 % ZTSD had superior higher value of dressed weight compared with a control diet. The higher dressed carcass weight (1850.00 g) of broiler chickens fed 50 % ZTSD may be considered to be a direct consequence of the better body weight and FCR of the broiler chickens in this treatment. Although birds fed the control diet with a dressed weight of 1720.00 g and 100 % ZTSD with a value of 1680.00g did not have the highest live weight per finisher broiler chicken, they manifested remarkable dressed weight as a percentage of live weight indicating that all diets supported a proportional cumulative weight gain. However, it implies that the dressed weight of broiler chickens was not directly proportional to the weight gain or performance traits. Also, high weight gain value may not imply a concomitant increase in the dressed weight value expressed as a percentage of live weight (Fasuyi and Aletor, 2005). The dressing percentage was not influenced by dietary treatments. This may suggest that untreated and treated sawdust can be utilized to replace wheat offal in broiler diets. The values of dressing percentage in the study were lower than the values (74.15–86.29 %) reported by Odeh *et al.* (2016). The dietary treatments influenced the cut-up parts of the broiler chicken except for the drumstick. The birds fed 100 % ZTSD had the lowest value of thigh and back compared with birds fed other diets. It may imply that the replacement of wheat offal by treated sawdust may not fully support the growth of some body parts of the broiler chickens. This observation agrees with the reports of Fasuyi and Aletor (2005).

The birds fed 100 % UTSD had the highest value of gizzard which might be due to the extra muscular activity required to process high fibre content of the feed. The increased weight of liver of the birds fed 50 % ZTSD and 100 % UTSD might be due to the role of this organ in eliminating metabolic waste and toxin from farm animals. Also, Onyeyilli *et al.* (1998) reported that the liver was a primary organ of biotransformation in farm animals. The values of the whole gastrointestinal tract did not follow any trend, but birds fed 100 % UTSD and 100 % ZTSD had highest values while the least value was obtained in birds on 50 % UTSD. Abdelsamie *et al.* (1983) reported that higher fibre contents at similar feed intakes enhanced relative weight and length of the gastrointestinal tracts of broiler chickens. Longe and Ogedengbe (1989) reported that the gravity of feeding dietary fibre on growth response is a function of the source and concentration of the fibre source.

#### **Sensory evaluation of meat from broiler chickens fed untreated or treated sawdust**

The replacement of wheat offal with untreated and treated sawdust had an influence on the sensory attributes of the broiler chicken meat. The values obtained in 100 % UTSD, 50 % ZTSD and 100 % ZTSD were similar to the control group. This implied that the taste of panellists could not differentiate the meat samples. The sawdust-based diets improved the juiciness and tenderness of the meat. Therefore, *Z. mobilis*-treated sawdust promoted overall acceptability of meat from broiler chickens without any deleterious influence on the meat quality. Breidenstein and Carpenter (1983) reported that colour, flavour, juiciness and tenderness are the essential parameters of the eating quality of meat. Also, Pippen *et al.* (1969) reported that the components responsible for flavour are from the lean portion and dissolved in the fat during cooking. However, Awosanya *et al.* (1990) observed that the only factor which was responsible for consumers' overall acceptability of rabbit meat is the age at which the animal is slaughtered. Therefore, the younger the age of the livestock, the more acceptable is its meat. Moreover, juiciness is important in the tenderness of meat because it provides lubrication to the consumers, and enhances mouthfeel (Owens *et al.*, 2004). Tenderness had been reported as a major

quality determinant and probably the most essential sensory characteristic of meat (Deatherage, 1963). Tenderness score followed a similar trend as juiciness and flavour. Ouali (1990) and Smulders *et al.* (1991) reported that meat tenderization is a multifactorial process which depends on a number of biological and environmental factors. The utilization of treated sawdust increased the degree of tenderness, as assessed by the taste of panellists. This agreed with the findings of Omojola and Adesehinwa (2007) who reported that exogenous enzyme increased the degree of tenderness of breast meat from broiler chickens. However, the result for texture, colour and overall acceptability did not agree with the reports of the same authors who observed that these parameters were not affected by the inclusion of enzyme in the broiler chicken diets.

The dietary treatments influenced the sensory parameters.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Dietary inclusion of 100 % *Zymomonas mobilis*-treated sawdust replacing wheat offal for broiler chicks is not recommended at the starter phase since it potentiates increased white blood cell counts of the chicks. This may be reflective of the imbalance defence mechanism of the starting chicks to the introduced bacteria. As a result of the established gut ecology of the older birds, *Zymomonas mobilis* treatment of the sawdust posed no negative effects on the health status of the finishing broilers. Dietary inclusion of 50 % *Zymomonas mobilis*-treated sawdust significantly improved carcass yield in terms of breast, thigh, drumstick and back weights. Hence, dietary inclusion of *Zymomonas mobilis*-treated sawdust is only recommended at the finisher phase.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We are grateful for financial support of the World Bank African Centre of Excellence in Agricultural Development and Sustainable Environment, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria.

Professor Anigbogu, N. M., Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Nigeria for the provision of *Zymomonas mobilis* suspension and



technical assistance.

Mrs. Alade, Felicia Omolara, ASUU-UNAAB Cooperative Multipurpose Society Limited for financial support.

Mr. Obadina, James Folorunso, Ogun State Technical College, Abeokuta, Nigeria for technical assistance.

## REFERENCES

- Abdelsamie, R. E., Ranaweera, K. N. P. & Nano, W. E. (1983). The influence of fibre content and physical texture of the diet on the performance of broilers in the tropics. *British Poultry Science*, 24, 383–390.
- Abdulraheem, A. O., Abubakar, A. & Yahaya, U. K. (2006). Influence of rice bran on growth performance of broiler chickens. *FCET JOVATEB*, 1(1), 87–92.
- Abe, H. A., Kimura, T. & Yamuchi, K. (1996). Effect of collagen on the toughness of meat from spent laying hens. *Journal of Food Science Technology*, 43, 831–834.
- Adedire, A. O., Oduguwa, O. O., Edema, M. O., Onifade, O. S. & Onwuka, C. F. I. (2012). Performance and nutrient utilization of rabbits fed diets based on crop residues fermented with *Rhizopus oligosporus*. *Nigeria Journal of Animal Production*, 39(1), 114–121.
- Aderemi, F. A. (2004). Effects of replacement of wheat bran with cassava root sievate supplemented or unsupplemented with enzyme on the haematology and serum biochemistry of pullet chicks. *Tropical Journal of Animal Science*, 7, 147–153.
- Adeyemi, O. A., Fashina, O. E. & Balogun, M. O. (2000). Utilization of full-fat *Jatropha* seed in Broiler Diet: Effect on Haematological Parameters and Blood Chemistry. In *Proceedings of 25<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference of Nigerian Society Animal Production (NSAP)*, 19<sup>th</sup> - 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2000, Umudike, pp. 108–109.
- Aduku, A. O. (1993). *Tropical Feedstuff-Analysis Table*. Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ahmadu Bello University, Samaru, Zaria, Nigeria, pp. 196.
- Afolabi, K. D., Akinsoyinu, A. O., Abdullah, A. R. O., Olajide, R. & Akinleye, S. B. (2011). Haematological Parameters of the Nigerian Local Grower Chickens Fed varying Dietary levels of Palm kernel cake. *Poljoprivreda*, 17(1), 74–78.
- Agboola, A. F., Ajayi, H. I., Ogunbode, S. M., Majolagbe, O. H., Adenekan, O. O., Oguntuyo, C. T. & Opaleye, R. O. (2013). Serum Biochemistry and Haematological indices of broiler Chickens fed graded levels of Frog (*Rana esculata*) meal as replacement to fish meal. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biosciences*, 2(5), 260–265.
- Aletor, V. A. & Egberongbe, O. (1992). Feeding differently processed Soyabean. Part 2. An assessment of haematological responses in chicken diet. *Nahrung*, 36(4), 364–369.
- Alhassan, W. S. (1985). The Potential of Agro-industrial by-products and Crop Residues for Sheep and Goat Production in Nigeria. *Proceedings of Small Ruminant Production in Nigeria*, 1, 165–175.
- American Metabolic Testing Laboratories, Inc. Chemistry Profile. (2001). [www.caprofile.net/t3.html](http://www.caprofile.net/t3.html).
- Anigbogu, N. M. & Anosike, J. C. (2010). *Zymomonas mobilis* degraded and sweetened sawdust (life-enzyme) cake as feed for the goats. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition*, 27(1), 50–55.
- Anigbogu, N. M., Ibe, S. N., Akomas, S. C., Oke, U. K. & Ezeokoli, C. D. (2008). Growth and carcass characteristics of Bororo Zebu cattle fed Water Hyacinth containing diets. *Indian Journal of Dairy Sciences*, 61(5), 365–368.
- Anigbogu, N. M., Ibe, S. N., Anosike, J. C. & Assam, E. M. (2009). The effect of Life-Enzyme (Sawdust treated with *Zymomonas mobilis*) on the performance characteristics of Red Sokoto Goats. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture and Environment*, 11(1), 12–18.
- Anon (1980). *Guide to the care and use of experimental animals*. Vol. 1. Canadian Council on Animal Care. Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, pp. 85–90.
- Association of Official and Analytical Chemists. (2015). *Official Methods of Analysis*. Arlington, USA.
- Awosanya, B., Joseph, J. R., Apata, D. F. & Agbola, M. A. (1990). Performance, blood chemistry and carcass quality attributes of rabbit fed raw and processed *Pueraria* seed meal. *Tropical Journal of Animal Science*, 2(2), 89–96.
- Babatunde, G. M. & Pond, W. G. (1987). Nutritive value of Nigerian rubber seed (*Hevea brasiliensis*) 1–Rubber seed meal. *Nutrition Report International*, 36, 617–630.
- Babatunde, G. M., Pond, W. O., Krook, L., Van Vleck, L. D., Walker, E. F. & Chapman, P. (1967). Effect of dietary safflower oil or hydrogenated coconut oil on growth rate and on some blood and tissue components of pigs fed a fat-free diets. *Journal of Nutrition*, 92, 293–302.
- Banks, W. J. (1974). *Histology & Comparative organology. A Text-Atlas*. The Williams and Wilkins Company, Baltimore, USA, pp.79–83.
- Baron, D. N. (1973). *A short textbook of chemical Pathology*. 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. The English Language Book Society (ELBS), pp. 15–20.



- Benerjee, G. C. (2004). *A Textbook of Animal Husbandry*. 8<sup>th</sup> Ed. Oxford and IBH Pub. Co., PVT., LTD.
- Bounous, D. L. & Stedman, N. L. (2000). *Normal Avian Haematology: Chicken and Turkey*. In Feldman, B. F., Zinkl, J. G. and N. C. Jain, Lippin Cotts, Williams and Wilkisin, Philadelphia, pp. 1147–1154.
- Breidenstein, B. C. & Carpenter, Z. L. (1983). The Red Meat Industry: Product And Consumerism. *Journal of Animal Science*, 57(2), 119–132.
- Brown, J. A. & Clime, T. R. (1972). Nutrition and Haematological values. *Journal of Animal Science*, 35, 211–218.
- Café, M. B., Rinaldi, F. P., Morais, H. R., Nascimento, M. R., Mundim, A. V. & Marchini, C. F. P. (2012). Biochemical blood parameters of broilers at different ages under thermoneutral environment. World Poultry Conference, Salvador-Bahia-Brazil, 5–9 August, 2012. *World Poultry Science Journal*, Suppl. 1, pp. 143–146.
- Cannan, R. K. (1958). *Text Book of Clinical Practical Biochemistry*, Volume I, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition, CBS Publisher and Distributor, pp. 497–480.
- Cazetta, M. L., Celligoi, M. A. P. C., Buzato, J. B. & Scarmino, I. S. (2007). Fermentation of molasses by *Zymomonas mobilis*: Effects of temperature and sugar concentration on ethanol production. *Bioresource Technology*, 98, 2824–2828.
- Chesson, A., Stewart, C. S. & Wallace, R. J. (1983). Influence of plant phenolic acids on the growth and cellulytic of rumen bacteria. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*, 44, 597–603.
- Church, J. P., Judd, J. T., Young, C. W., Kebay, J. L. & Kim, W. W. (1984). Relationships among diet constituents and specific serum clinical components of subjects eating self-selected diets. *Africa Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 40, 1338–1344.
- Daniel, W. W. (1995). *Biostatistics: A Foundation for Health Sciences*, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition. Mc Graw-Hill Book Company, New York.
- Deatherage, F. E. (1963). The effects of water and inorganic salts on tenderness. In *Proceedings Meat Tenderness Symposium*, Campbell Soup Co., Camden N.J., pp. 45–68.
- Ekpenyong, T. E. & Biobaku, W. O. (1986). Growth response of rabbits fed activated sewage sludge and dried poultry waste. *Journal of Applied Rabbit Research*, 9(1), 14–16.
- Fajimi, A. O., Babatunde, G. M., Ogunlana, F. F. & Oyejide, A. (1993). Comparative Utilization of Rubber Seed Oil and palm oil by broilers in a humid tropical environment. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 43, 177–188.
- Faniyi, F. O. (2006). *Optimizing the usefulness of poultry faeces and crop residues for meat, fish and egg production*. Inaugural lecture at the Oyo State College of Education, Oyo State, Nigeria, pp. 1–30.
- Fasuyi, A. O. & Aletor, V. A. (2005). Protein replacement value of cassava (*Manihot esculenta*, Crantz) leaf protein concentrate (CLPC) in broiler starter: Effect on performance, muscle growth, haematology and serum metabolites. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 4(5), 339–349.
- Fisher, C. B. & Boorman, K. N. (1986). *Nutrient Requirements of Poultry and Nutritional Research*. Butterworths, London, United Kingdom.
- Gohl, I. B. (1981). *Tropical Feeds*. FAO, Rome, 532 pp.
- Grueling, H. T. (1966). *The chemical analysis of plant tissue*. Mimeo, No. 6622. Agronomy Department, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York.
- Gunasekaran, P. & Chandra, R. K. (1999). Ethanol Fermentation Technology – *Zymomonas mobilis*. *Current Science*, 77(1), 56–68.
- Ichita, A. N. (2006). Effects of two nitrogenous sources on *Zymomonas mobilis* life-enzyme production from cassava peel as sheep feed. Unpublished project submitted to the College of Animal Science and Animal Health in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the award of Bachelor of Agriculture Degree. Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Nigeria.
- Igba-Shah, S. & Miller, E. O. (1983). Feeding crop residue and animal waste to ruminants in Pakistan. In *Proceedings FAO/Pakistan Agricultural Research Council (PARC) workshop on least cost ration formulation*. Islamabad, Pakistan, pp. 4–24.
- Islam, M. S., Lucky, N. S., Islam, M. R., Ahad, A., Das, B. R., Rahman, M. M. & Siddivi, M. S. I. (2004). Haematological parameters of Fayoumi, Assil and local chickens reared in Sylhet Region in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 4(10), 748–756.
- Iyayi, E. A. & Tewe, O. O. (1998). Serum Total Protein, Urea and Creatinine Levels as indices of Quality of cassava Diets for Pigs. *Tropical Veterinarian*, 16, 59–67.
- Jain, N. C. (1993). *Essentials of Veterinary Haematology*, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, U.S.A.
- Jain, N. C. (1986). *Schalm's Veterinary Haematology*. 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia.
- Kaneko, J. J. (1997). Serum Proteins and the Dysproteinemias. In *Clinical Biochemistry of Domestic Animals*. 5<sup>th</sup> Edition., Editors: Kaneko, J. J. Harvey and M. Bruss, Academic Press, San Diego, C. A., pp. 117–138.
- Lamidi, A. W., Fanimu, A. O., Eruvbetine, D. & Biobaku, W. O. (2008). Effect of Graded levels of Pineapple (*Ananas*

- comosus* L. Meer) crush waste on the performance, carcass yield and blood parameters of broiler chickens. *Nigeria Journal of Animal Production*, 35(1), 40–47.
- Lewis, G. E., Sanches, W. K., Treacher, R., Pritchard, G. T. & Feng, P. (1996). Effect of direct fed fibrolytic enzymes on digestion of a forage-based diet fed to steers. *Journal of Animal Science*, 8, 273–276.
- Lindsay, D. B. (1977). The effect of feeding patterns and sampling on blood parameters. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 56, 99–120.
- Longe, O. G. & Ogedengbe, N. E. E. (1989). Influence of fibre on metabolizable energy of diet and performance of growing pullets in the tropics. *British Poultry Science*, 30, 193–205.
- Marieb, E. M. & Hoehn, K. (2007). *Anatomy and Physiology*. 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. San Francisco: Benjamin Cummings, 649 pp.
- Mitruka, H. M. & Rawnsley, S. K. (1977). *Chemical, Biochemical and Haematological Reference in Normal Experimental Animals*. Mason, N.Y., pp. 287–380.
- Mmereole, F. U. C. (2008). The effects of replacing groundnut cake with rubber seed meal on the haematological and serological indices of broilers. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 7(6), 622–624.
- Muhammad, N. O. & Oloyede, O. B. (2009). Haematological parameters of Broiler chicks fed *Aspergillus Niger* fermented *Terminalia catappa* seed Meal-Based Diet. *Global Journal of Biotechnology and Biochemistry*, 4(2), 179–183.
- Nworgu, F. C. (2004). *Utilization of forage meal supplements in broiler production*. PhD Thesis. Animal Science Department, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria, pp. 136–160.
- Nworgu, F. C., Ogunbenro, S. A. & Solsi, K. S. (2007). Performance and some blood chemistry indices of broiler chickens served fluted pumpkin (*Telfaria occidentalis*) leaves extract supplement. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Science*, 2(1), 90–98.
- Obikaonu, H. O., Okoli, I. C., Opera, M. N., Okoro, V. M. O., Ogbuewu, I. P., Etuk, E. B. & Udedibie, A. B. I. (2012). Haematological and serum biochemical indices of starter broilers fed leaf neem (*Azadirachta indica*). *Journal of Agricultural Technology*, 8(1), 71–79.
- Odeh, M. O., Igwebuikwe, J. U., Ubosi, C. O. & Akwukwaegbu, S. O. (2016). Performance, Carcass Characteristics and Cost Benefit Analysis of Broiler chickens fed graded Levels of Rice Milling Waste. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, 6(19), 126–132.
- Ogunwale, O. A., Oso, Y. A. A., Omotoso, R. R., Majekodunmi, B. C., Ayinde, B. O. & Oikeh, I. (2013). Performance, carcass characteristics and meat physico-chemical properties of broiler chickens fed graded levels of supplemental ascorbic acid. *Agricultural and Biological Journal of North America*, 4(4), 485–495.
- Oke, D. B. & Oke, M. O. (2007). Effects of feeding Grade Levels of Sawdust obtained from *Daniellia ogea* Tree on the performance and carcass characteristics of Broiler chickens. *Research Journal of Poultry Sciences*, 1(1), 12–15.
- Oleforuh-Okoleh, V. U., Chukwu, G. C. & Adeolu, A. I. (2015). Effect of ground ginger and garlic on the growth performance, carcass quality and economics of production of broiler chickens. *Global Journal of Bio-science and Biotechnology*, 3(3), 225–229.
- Olomu, J. M. (1995). *Monogastric Animal Nutrition, Principles and Practices*. AJACHEM Publishers, Benin City, Nigeria, pp. 108–214.
- Olorode, B. R. & Longe, O. G. (2000). Effect of replacing palm kernel cake with shear butter cake on quality characteristics, haematology and serum chemistry of laying hens. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 27, 19–23.
- Olorode, B. R., Onifade, A. A., Opara, A. O. & Babatunde, G. M. (1996). Growth, nutrient retention, haematology and serum chemistry of fed shea butter or palm kernel cake in the humid tropics. *Journal of Applied Animal Research*, 10, 173–180.
- Omojola, A. B. & Adesehinwa, A. O. K. (2007). Performance and Carcass Characteristics of Broiler Chickens fed Diets supplemented with graded levels of RoxazymeG<sup>®</sup>. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 6(5), 335–339.
- Onyejekwe, I. E. (2010). *Effect of direct-fed microbes (Zymomonas mobilis Treated Rice Hull) on Maradi Goats*. Thesis submitted to the College of Animal Science and Animal production. Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria.
- Onyeyilli, P. A., Iwuoha, C. L. & Akinniyi, J. A. (1998). Chronic toxicity study of *Ficus platyphylla* blume in rats. *West African Journal Pharmacology Drug Research*, 14, 27–30.
- Onyimonyi, A. E., Chukwuma, P. C. & Igbokwe C. (2012). Growth and hypocholesterolemic properties of dry garlic powder (*Allium sativum*) on broilers. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 11(11), 2666–2671.
- Owens, C. M., Cain Cavitt, L. & Meullenet, J. C. (2004). *Tenderness Evaluation in Poultry meat*. Proceedings of the 57<sup>th</sup> American Meat Science Association Reciprocal Meat Conference, June 20–23, Lexington, Kentucky, pp. 115–121.

- Oyebimpe, K. (2012). *Utilization of Enzyme-supplemented cassava root meal as replacement for maize in diets for broiler chickens and cockerels*. PhD Thesis, Department of Animal Nutrition, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria.
- Oyewale, J. O. (1987). Haematological and Plasma biochemical values of two breeds of domestic fowl in a tropical environment. *Animal Technology*, 38, 49–53.
- Pampori, Z. A. & Iqbal, S. (2007). Haematology, Serum Chemistry and Electrocardiographic Evaluation in Native Chicken of Kashmir. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 6(8), 578–582.
- Pigden, W. J. & Bender, F. (1975). Utilization of lignocelluloses by ruminants. Ruminant Nutrition: selected articles from *World Animal Review*, FAO, Rome, pp. 30–32.
- Pippen, E. L., Mecchi, E. P. & Nonak, M. (1969). Origin and nature of aroma in fat of cooked poultry. *Journal of Food Science*, 34, 436–446.
- Ouali, A. (1990). Meat Tenderization: Possible Causes and Mechanisms. A review. *Journal of Muscles Foods*, 1(2), 129–165.
- Reddy, N. R. & Salunkhe, D. K. (1984). Phytates in Legumes and Cereals – reviews. *Advanced Food Research*, 28, 1–8.
- Riddell, C. (2011). *Comparative Anatomy, Histology and Physiology of the Chicken*. Department of Pathology, Western College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada S7N 0W0, p. 37.
- SAS Institute, (2001). *SAS users Guide Statistics*. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.
- Schalm, O. W., Jain, N. C. & Qureshi, M. Q. (1975). *Veterinary Haematology*, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia.
- Seo, J. S., Chong, H., Park, H. S., Yoon, K. O., Jung, C., Kim, J. J., Hong, J. H., Kim, H., Kim, J. H., Kil, J. I., Park, C. J., Oh, S. J., Kim, J. Y., Kang, H. L., Lee, S. Y., Lee, K. J. & Kang, H. S. (2005). The genome sequence of ethanologenic bacterium *Zymomonas mobilis* ZM4. *Nature Biotechnology*, 23(1), 63–68.
- Smulders, F. J., Vanloack, M. R. & Ellelenboom, G. (1991). In *The European Meat Industry in the 1999's*. Ed. F. J. M. Smulders. Audet Nijmegen, pp. 121–129.
- Sobayo, R. A., Adeyemi, O. A., Oso, A. O., Fafiolu, A. O., Daramola, J. O., Sodipe, G., Ogunade, I. B. & Odetola, O. M. (2013). Haematological, Serum and Carcass characteristics of broiler chicken fed graded levels of *Garcinia kola* (Bitter kola) used as phytobiotic. *Nigeria Journal of Animal Production*, 40(1), 48–56.
- Steel, T. G. O. & Torrie, L. H. (1980). *Principles and Procedures of Statistics. A Biometrical Approach*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Mc Graw-Hill Book Company, New York.
- Thrall, M. A. (2007). *Hematologia e Bioquímica Clínica Veterinária*. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Sao Paulo: Roca, 582 pp.
- Ugwuene, M. C. (2011). Effect of dietary palm kernel meal for maize on the Haematological and serum chemistry of broiler Turkeys. *Nigeria Journal of Animal Science*, 13, 93–103.
- Van Soest, P. J., Robertson, J. B. & Lewis B. A. (1991). Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fibre and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 74, 3583–3597.
- Yanase, H., Nozaki, K. & Okamoto, K. (2005). Ethanol production from cellulosic materials by genetically engineered *Zymomonas mobilis*. *Biotechnology Letters*, 27, 259–263.
- Zhou, W. T., Fujita, M. & Tammamto, S. (1999). Thermoregulatory responses and blood viscosity in dehydrated heat-exposed broilers (*Gallus domesticus*). *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 24(3), 185–192.
- Zomrawi, W. B., Abdel Atti, K. H. A., Dousa, B. M. & Mahala, A. G. (2012). The effect of ginger root powder (*Zingiber officinale*) supplementation on broiler chicks' performance, blood and serum constituents. *Online Journal Animal Feed Researches*, 2(6), 457–460.